School: FRONTIER CHARTER ACADEMY - 1875 District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (1 Year\*\*\*)

# **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	79.2%	( 11.9 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	( 22.4 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.6%	( 8.8 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	91.7%	( 32.1 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		75.2%	( 75.2 out of 100 points )	s asked and the points elicible, so scores are not acceptively

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rs						Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
School: FRONTIER CH	ARTER ACADEN	ΛY						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	· ·
Reading	3	4		Meets	279	86.4%	84	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	278	86.0%	83	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	279	78.1%	91	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	94	86.2%	97	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	179	59	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	178	46	29	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	178	52	27	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	26	53	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	34	57	30	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	22	51	61	No
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	26	56	46	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	43	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	26	44	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	34	58	36	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	42	58	45	Yes
Total	21	32	65.6%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested		Rat	ring		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		280	280	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		279	279	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		280	280	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		95	95	

Performance Indicato	rs						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: FRONTIER CH		MY						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(= : 00:
Reading	3	4	70.1 011100	Meets	310	79.7%	69	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	310	62.6%	70	
Writing	3	4		Meets	310	71.6%	77	
Science	3	4		Meets	103	54.4%	60	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	701011115	Approaching	293	40	21	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	294	39	55	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	293	38	29	Yes
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching	233			. 55
			.21,7,0	7.100.000.000				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth		Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	53	44	22	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	75	40	30	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	39	41	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	49	48	58	No
Mathematics	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	32	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	39	65	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	36	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	93	52	84	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	53	40	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	75	40	41	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	42	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	71	43	69	No
<b>Total</b>	20	48	41.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Partic	cipation Rate Met		310	310	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partic	cipation Rate Met		310	310	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	cipation Rate Met		310	310	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	cipation Rate Met		103	103	

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: FRONTIER CHARTER AC	ADEMY							(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	167	79.6%	72	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	166	36.8%	58	
Writing	3	4		Meets	167	65.9%	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	74	59.5%	67	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	151	50	11	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	150	66	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	151	57	33	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Acadomic Growth Cana	Points Earned	Dointe Eligible	% Points	Datina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps		Points Eligible	·	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growins
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				.,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	32	53	21	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	34	59	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	_	Approaching	28	45	72	No
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	32	63	97	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	33	64	96	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	81	64	99	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	32	66	58	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	34	52	47	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	49	66	80	No
Total	27	36	75%	Meets			••	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	<b>59</b> /51/58/58	<b>88.1</b> /84.3/84.5/74.1%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	345	0.6%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	65	22.4	At/above State average	
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Test Participation %	of Students Testea	1	Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%			tion Rate Met		167	168	
Mathematics	98.8%			tion Rate Met		166	168	
Writing	99.4%		<u>.</u>	tion Rate Met		167	168	
Science	98.7%		<u>.</u>	tion Rate Met		74	75	
	100.0%					65	65	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

ertormance inaicato	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
.,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)		
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1			
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	•				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	•		content area)		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	ŕ		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.	pove 30. Approaching 2 60				
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:		group in 3 content	15		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:					
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4			
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2			
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	- Cestilice in Ces	<u> </u>	12		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)		
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	DOES NOT WICE	1 -			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4			
	• at or above 22.  • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1			
		Boes Not Week				
ut-Points for each pe			_			

<b>Cut-Points for each</b>	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type as	ssignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

## Comparison Data

#### **Academic Achievement**


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.4	74.1	74.1	74.1
Anticipated Year	2008	82.8	84.7	84.5	
of Graduation	2009	82.4	84.3		
	2010	88.1			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.4	74.1	74.1	74.1
Anticipated	2008	82.8	84.7	84.5	
Year of	2009	82.4	84.3		
Graduation	2010	88.1			
	Aggregated	81.4	81.0	79.3	74.1

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.