School Performance Framework 2011

District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828 (1 Year***)

Level: All Levels

School: CALICHE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 1224

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then							
matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.							
uetermine the plan type.							

Performance Plan

 Plan Assignment
 Framework Points Earned

 Performance
 at or above 60%

 Improvement
 at or above 47% - below 60%

 Priority Improvement
 at or above 33% - below 47%

 Turnaround
 below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	71.4%	(10.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	90.0%	(13.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		82.6%	(82.6 out of 100 points)	

impacted.
** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: CALICHE JUN	NIOR-SENIOR HI	GH SCHOOL						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	33	84.8%	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	33	54.6%	54	
Writing	3	4		Meets	33	72.7%	80	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	32	64	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	32	42	63	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	32	68	39	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
	Defete Francis		0 Defecto	Dentine	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up		0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up		0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up		0		-	N<20			-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d	R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		34	34	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		34	34	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		34	34	
Science	100.0%			-		15	15	

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: CALICHE JUNIOR-SENIC	OR HIGH SCHO	OL						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	54	83.3%	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	54	35.2%	53	
Writing	3	4		Meets	54	72.2%	89	
Science	2	4		Approaching	21	42.9%	35	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	50	63	14	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	50	70	89	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	50	85	46	Yes
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	21	55	21	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	07.370	Meets	20	66	92	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	26	70	99	No
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds	20	///		110
	4	4	10078		21	76	47	Vac
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		Exceeds				Yes
Minority Students	-	-		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	4	4		-	N<20 24	93	- 85	-
Students needing to catch up Total	18	20	90%	Exceeds Exceeds	24	93		Yes
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	-/23/20/20	-/100/100/100%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	84	1.2%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	16	19.5	At/above State average	
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets			, ,	
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	d	R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		55	55	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		55	55	
Writing	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		55	55	
Science	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		21	21	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			-		16	16	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guide	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				evel: All Lev
oring Guide for Pen erformance Indicato		Pating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
rjormance inaicato		Rating	Point Value	I otal Possible	Framework Pol
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Excoods		16	
Acadamia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds PF). Meets	4	16	15
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year S		(4 for each	15	
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year S		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	E condu	1 4	-	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	-	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	(5 for each subgrou	р
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•		group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee		1	
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	1	
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching		-	
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	Does not met	· ·	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	35
orkiorce Reduiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SFF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SFF). at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SFF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SFF). 	Approaching	2	indicatory	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee		-	
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	DOES NOT MEE	:ų 1		
	• at or above 22.	Even e de	1 4	-	
	 at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). 	Exceeds	4	-	
		Meets	-	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	-	
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
t-Points for each pe	erformance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school	l earned of the	total Framev	vork points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5% • at or above 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Total Framework • at or above 47%	- below 60%			Improvement
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 33%	- below 47%		Pric	ority Improveme
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33%				Turnaround
hool plan type assig	gnments				
	Plan description				
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improv	ement and/or Tur	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	-			
•	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading				Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated Year	2008	100.0	100.0	100.0	
of Graduation	2009	100.0	100.0		
	2010	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated	2008	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Year of	2009	100.0	100.0		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	98.7	100.0	100.0	100.0



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.