## School Performance Framework 2011

### School: TRINIDAD HIGH SCHOOL - 8906

Improvement Plan

# This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Aca
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	_
Turnaround	below 33%	Pos

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points )
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points )
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	( 23.3 out of 35 points )
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met		
TOTAL		55.8%	( 55.8 out of 100 points )
impacted.			es, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Level: High School

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: TRINIDAD HIGH SCHOO	)L - 8906							(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	611	64.6%	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	611	21.6%	28	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	610	43.0%	35	
Science	2	4		Approaching	300	35.0%	23	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	561	44	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	561	48	97	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	560	40	58	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	336	43	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	376	46	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	40	94	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	1	Approaching	175	43	75	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	336	48	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	376	48	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	49	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	385	49	99	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	43.070	Approaching	335	41	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	375	40	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	39	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	287	42	87	No
Total	24	48	50%	Approaching	207	72	07	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	462/346/ <b>240</b> /137		80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1,564	0.9%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	283	18.0	At/above State average	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participatio		641	642	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participatio		642	642	
Writing	99.8%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	641	642	
Science	99.7%			95% Participatio		315	316	
Colorado ACT	99.6%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	283	284	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data. SPF Non-Combined 2011 1580 - 8906 - 3 Year

oring Guide for Peri	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Report							
erformance Indicato					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po	
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a	dvanced was:			<b>y</b>				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20		eline (3-vear SPF).		Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile</li> </ul>	-10 baseline (3-vear SPF)		3	(4 for each	15			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	· · · · ·		2	content area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-	10 00000000 (0 year 0 )	Does Not Mee	t 1	content area,				
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth			<i>as.</i>	Does Not Mice	ч <u>-</u>			
	• at or above 60.		in growin percentile w		Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.								
	below 60 but at or above 45.     below 45 but at or above 30.								
Academic	below 45 but ut of ubove 50.				Approaching Does Not Mee	2 t 1	12 (4 for each	35	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	arowth porceptile and its medic	an student growth perc	ontilo was:	Does Not Milee	<u>ч</u>	content area)		
Growth	• at or above 70.	growin percentile and its mean	in student growth perc		Excoods	4	content area)		
	<ul> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Exceeds	3			
					Meets				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its stu	laent growth percentile	e was:					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	-		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ite student growth percentile a	nd its student growth p	ercentile was:	•		group in 3 content	15	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	-		
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	!				
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:								
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4			
	• above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2			
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						12		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 200	9 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 base	line (3-year SPF).		Meets	3	indicator)		
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 20				Approaching	2	,		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score w	as:			<b>4</b> -			
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010	) (1-year SPE) or 2008-10 basel	ine (3-vear SPE)		Meets	3			
	<ul> <li>at or above the state average but below 22 using 2011</li> <li>at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010</li> </ul>				Approaching	2			
	• at or below 17.		ine (5-year 511).		Does Not Mee				
					Does Not Miee	<b>4</b> 1			
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t						
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	otal Framew	ork points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		<ul> <li>at or above 60%</li> </ul>				Performance	
Growth; Gaps;	<ul> <li>at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%</li> </ul>	Meets	Total Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - be</li> </ul>	low 60%			Improvement	
Postsecondary	<ul> <li>at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%</li> </ul>	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - be</li> </ul>	low 47%		Prio	rity Improvem	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		<ul> <li>below 33%</li> </ul>				Turnaround	
ool plan type assig	nments								
	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	school may not implem	ent a Priority Improvem	ent and/or Tur	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total	
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a ref		, ,	fore the District or Instit			0		
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a min			commences on July 1 du	•				
sincy improvement	rian price school is required to adopt and implement a Pho	nity improvement rian.   (0	maecutive actionityedia	commences on July 1 00	ang the summe	er minieuidle	any ronowing the fdll	m which the	

## Reference

## Comparison Data

# Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading		Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness


#### This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• • •				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.3	73.7	74.5	74.5
Anticipated Year	2008	81.4	81.4	79.6	
of Graduation	2009	72.6	73.8		
	2010	74.4			

### This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.3	73.7	74.5	74.5
Anticipated	2008	81.4	81.4	79.6	
Year of	2009	72.6	73.8		
Graduation	2010	74.4			
	Aggregated	74.9	76.0	76.7	74.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.