School Performance Framework 2011 Level: All Levels

School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHARTER SCHOOLS - 0146

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	(13.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	80.6%	(28.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	76.6%	(11.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		87.8%	(87.8 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year***)

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rs						Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
School: RIDGEVIEW C	LASSICAL CHA	RTER SCHOOL	.S					(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	524	85.1%	83	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	524	65.1%	39	
Writing	3	4		Meets	524	56.9%	53	
Science	3	4		Meets	173	55.5%	65	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	701 011100	Approaching	324	40	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	326	52	50	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	326	46	37	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
A and anala Cuanath Cana	Dainta Farmand	Dainta Elimibla	O/ Dainta	Doubles or	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	48	32	28	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	56	35	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0			N<20 N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
English Language Learners						-	- 47	
Students needing to catch up	<u>2</u> 5	4	44 70/	Approaching	39	44	47	No
Mathematics		12	41.7%	Approaching	40	26		NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	49 57	36	51	No
Minority Students	0	<u>4</u> 0		Approaching -	N<20	41 	52 -	No
Students w/ Disabilities English Language Learners	0	0			N<20 N<20		<u> </u>	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	102	 53	 68	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	102		00	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	30.370	Does Not Meet	49	37	40	No
Minority Students	3	4	<u> </u>	Meets	49 57	53	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Language Learners	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-	Meets	137	 54	52	Yes
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching	23,	31		
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1	R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			pation Rate Met		542	543	
Mathematics	100.0%			oation Rate Met		542	542	
Writing	100.0%			pation Rate Met		542	542	
Science	99.4%			pation Rate Met		180	181	

Performance Indicato	ors						Leve	l: Middle Schoo
School: RIDGEVIEW (CLASSICAL CHAP	RTER SCHOOL	.S					(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	543	93.2%	97	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	543	74.2%	89	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	543	86.0%	96	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	180	75.0%	93	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	482	58	15	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	483	57	57	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	483	66	30	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	65	57	19	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	89	64	15	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	22	62	47	Yes
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	27	74	9	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	27	69	58	Yes
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	66	53	56	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	90	64	62	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	49	75	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	27	72	20	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	105	62	81	No
Writing	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	66	68	37	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	90	72	28	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	22	57	70	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	27	75	16	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	81	64	62	Yes
Total	53	60	88.3%	Exceeds				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested		Rat	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		559	561	
Mathematics	99.6%		95% Participa			559	561	
Writing	99.6%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		559	561	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		185	185	

Performance Indicators							Level	: High Schoo
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL	CHARTER SCH	OOLS						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	316	96.8%	98	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	316	63.3%	96	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	316	89.6%	98	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	149	86.6%	98	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	271	66	3	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	271	67	50	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	271	53	8	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	20070	Exceeds	39	66	4	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	48	61	5	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets	11.25			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	7570	Approaching	39	53	57	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	48	65	56	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
English Language Learners	0	0			N<20	_		
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	73	61	96	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	03.370	Exceeds	39	67	14	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	48	68	8	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
English Language Learners	0	0			N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	52	73	No
Total	27	32	84.4%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	,	Exceeds	147/ 99 /56/27	85.7/ 93.9 /89.3/92.6%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	781	0.0%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	102	25.4	At/above State average	
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds	102		, abore state average	
	6 of Students Tested		R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			ation Rate Met		333	333	
Mathematics	100.0%			ation Rate Met	,	333	333	
Writing	100.0%		<u>.</u>	ation Rate Met		333	333	
Science	100.0%			ation Rate Met		157	157	
	200.070		22,31 di ticip			20,	201	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

rformance Indicator	Scorina Guide	Ratina	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	nating	i omic varac	701011 0331010	Tameworki
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	13
710	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Does Not Wice	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		1 -	content area)	
0.01.0	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	4 -		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	1 -	group in 3 content	1
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	urcus,	
	below 76 but at or above 40. below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	DOCS NOT WICE	4 +		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	Does Not Meel	<u> </u>	12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below 1%. • at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	33
nkioice keauliless	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).		2	indicator)	
	• at or above 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	Does Not Meet	4 +		
		Evenode	1 1		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	3	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		
School plan type assignments							
	Plan description						

Performance Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Turnaround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	89.3	92.6	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2008	79.3	86.2	86.2	
of Graduation	2009	84.1	100.0		
[2010	89.1			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	89.3	92.6	92.6	92.6
Anticipated	2008	79.3	86.2	86.2	
Year of	2009	84.1	100.0		
Graduation	2010	89.1			
	Aggregated	85.7	93.9	89.3	92.6

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.