School Performance Framework 2011

School: COMPASS MONTESSORI - GOLDEN CHARTER SCHOOL - 1880

Level: All Levels

District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (1 Year***)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a
plan based on their overall framework score,
which is a percentage of the total points they
earned out of the total points eligible in each
performance indicator. The overall score is then
matched to the scoring guide below to
determine the plan type.

Performance Plan

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Approaching	54.2%	(8.1 out of 15 points)	
Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	
Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
95% Participation Rate Met			
	67.7%	(67.7 out of 100 points)	
	Approaching Approaching Meets Meets	Approaching54.2%Approaching58.3%Meets66.7%System83.3%SystemSystemSystemSystem	Approaching54.2%(8.1 out of 15 points)Approaching58.3%(20.4 out of 35 points)Meets66.7%(10.0 out of 15 points)Meets83.3%(29.2 out of 35 points)95% Participation Rate MetImage: Comparison of the second se

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: COMPASS N	IONTESSORI - G	OLDEN CHAR	TER SCHO	OL				(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	50	72.0%	50	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	49	59.2%	25	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	50	44.0%	31	
Science	2	4		Approaching	17	47.1%	49	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	30	39	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	31	41	60	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	31	49	44	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	0	70	-	N<20	_		_
Minority Students	0	0			N<20			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20			
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20			
Students needing to catch up		0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	0	,,,	-	N<20	<u>-</u>		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_		
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	b 0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Writing	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	21	41	51	No
Total	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		50	51	
Mathematics	96.1%			ipation Rate Met		49	51	
Writing	98.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		50	51	
Science	100.0%			-		17	17	

Performance Indicat	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: COMPASS N	10NTESSORI - G	OLDEN CHAR	TER SCHC	OL				(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	2	4		Approaching	107	64.5%	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	107	30.8%	16	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	107	44.9%	24	
Science	2	4		Approaching	45	42.2%	38	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	101	42	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	101	47	81	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	101	46	60	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets			·	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	56	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	p 3	4		Meets	25	56	72	No
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	62	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	p 2	4		Approaching	66	54	92	No
Writing	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	57	52	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	o 2	4		Approaching	45	52	82	No
Total	16	24	66.7%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		109	109	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		109	109	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		109	109	
Science	97.9%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		46	47	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: COMPASS MONTESSO	RI - GOLDEN CH	ARTER SCHO	OL					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	59	67.8%	35	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	59	23.7%	28	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	59	44.1%	35	
Science	3	4		Meets	31	54.8%	59	
Fotal	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	54	58	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	54	54	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	54	63	67	No
otal	8	12	66.7%	Meets			-	
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
y .			750/		11<20		-	
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets	NL-20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	38	60	99	No
Writing	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4	750/	Meets	30	59	93	No
Fotal	6	8	75%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	25/25/ 23 /31	84/84/ 87 /80.6%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	133	0.0%	At/below State average	-
Colorado ACT Composite Total	3 10	4	83.3%	Meets Meets	20	21.2	At/above State average	
						Cu dans = - 1	Table 1	
	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.4%			cipation Rate Met		60	61	-
Mathematics	98.4%			cipation Rate Met		60	61	
Writing	98.4%			cipation Rate Met		60	61	
Science	96.9%			cipation Rate Met		31	32	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		95% Partic	cipation Rate Met		20	20	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

ring Guid <u>e for Perf</u>	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework R	Report						
formance Indicato					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	anced was:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (eline (3-year SPF).		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perce			10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perce				Approaching	2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-yea				Does Not Mee	1 1	··· ·· ·· ··,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perc			s:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student group	wth percentile and its med	ian student arowth perce	entile was:		-	content area)	
	• at or above 70.		an stadent growth pere		Exceeds	4	concent area,	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	 below 76 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	arowth perceptile and its st	udent arowth perceptile	was.	DOES NOT MIEE	Ч <u>т</u>		
	• at or above 60.	growth percentile unu its st	adent growth percentile	wa3.	Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	
A					· · · · · ·		60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	student growth percentile (ind its student growth p	ercentile was:	E condu		group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)				
	below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2							
		below 55 but at or above 40.						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				r	T		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	_	
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1	_	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				2		12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1	L-year SPF) or 2007-09 base	eline (3-year SPF).		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009	(1-year SPF) or 2007-09 ba	seline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Color	ado ACT composite score v	vas:					
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1	-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-	-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	· · ·	· · ·		Does Not Mee	t 1		
Points for each ne	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ine assignment				1
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indi	icator		Cut Point: The school ea	rnod of the	otal Framou	ork points aligible	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	ineu or the	otarramew		Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Total Framework		low 60%			
· · -		Meets		• at or above 47% - be				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or above 33% - be	10W 47%		Prio	rity Improveme
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assig								
	Plan description				–			
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Perform		school may not implem	, ,	-			
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Impro	ovement Plan. fi	ve consecutive years bef	ore the District or Institu	ite is required t	o restructure	e or close the school	. The five
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority		onsecutive school years					

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)												
	Reading			Math			Writing				Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	77.4	80.6	80.6	80.6
Anticipated Year	2008	78.3	87.0	87.0	
of Graduation	2009	76.9	84.0		
	2010	84.0			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	77.4	80.6	80.6	80.6
Anticipated	2008	78.3	87.0	87.0	
Year of	2009	76.9	84.0		
Graduation	2010	84.0			
	Aggregated	79.0	83.5	83.3	80.6



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.