

Priority Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(14.6 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	42.9%	(6.4 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met		
TOTAL		33.6%	(33.6 out of 100 points)

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

School: HOPE ON-LINE

(1 Year*)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	511	39.3%	7
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	510	36.1%	4
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	511	20.0%	3
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	155	11.6%	6
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	296	34	51	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	300	35	64	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	296	28	59	No
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	198	31	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	239	31	54	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	101	31	52	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	171	31	68	No
Mathematics	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	199	30	66	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	242	31	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	104	45	68	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	166	35	81	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	198	26	61	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	239	27	62	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	101	24	57	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	230	28	67	No
Total	13	48	27.1%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Test Participation</i>	<i>% of Students Tested</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Students Tested</i>	<i>Total Students</i>
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	579	580
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	577	577
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	579	579
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	174	174

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

School: HOPE ON-LINE

(1 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	470	39.6%	5
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	470	18.7%	4
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	470	29.8%	10
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	149	13.4%	5
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	426	46	62	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	426	39	93	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	426	44	80	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	265	43	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	356	46	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	34	86	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	142	49	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	247	49	79	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	264	39	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	356	41	94	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	21	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	142	42	90	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	325	39	97	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	265	40	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	356	45	80	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	35	95	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	142	50	80	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	323	43	86	No
Total	25	60	41.7%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students
Reading	99.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	540	544
Mathematics	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	540	543
Writing	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	539	544
Science	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	172	173

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators

Level: High School

School: HOPE ON-LINE

(1 Year*)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	345	32.2%	2
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	344	4.1%	1
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	343	14.6%	4
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	166	18.1%	6
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	237	49	77	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	237	45	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	235	57	96	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	134	48	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	166	50	87	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	48	94	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	54	50	93	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	163	53	92	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	134	41	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	167	46	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	32	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	54	50	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	216	47	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	133	57	94	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	166	58	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	26	65	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	54	63	98	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	207	59	97	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Minimum State Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	351/353/184/44	26.2/38.2/35.9/29.5%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,848	11.0%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	16.0	At/above State average
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Test Participation</i>	<i>% of Students Tested</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Students Tested</i>	<i>Total Students</i>
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	419	420
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	419	420
Writing	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	418	420
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	207	207
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	128	128

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>			16 (4 for each content area)	15	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	<i>If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			12 (4 for each content area)	35	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>			60 (5 for each subgroup group in 3 content areas)	15	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	<i>Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:</i>			12 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35	
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4			
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2			
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:</i>					
	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:</i>					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4			
• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3				
• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2				
• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator

Cut-Points for plan type assignment

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	• below 33%
		Total Framework Points	Performance
			Improvement
			Priority Improvement
			Turnaround

School plan type assignments

Performance Plan	Plan description
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)


	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

Anticipated Year of Graduation	2007	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
		N<16	N<16	23.8	29.5
2008	N<16	27.2	35.9		
2009	22.9	38.2			
2010	26.2				

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

Anticipated Year of Graduation	2007	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
		N<16	N<16	23.8	29.5
2008	N<16	27.2	35.9		
2009	22.9	38.2			
2010	26.2				
Aggregated		24.6	34.5	33.6	29.5

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.