School: HIGHLANDS RANCH HIGH SCHOOL - 3980

# **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	( 12.2 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.3%	( 11.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	( 35.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		84.5%	( 84.5 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

## **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year\*\*\*)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High School
School: HIGHLANDS RANCH HIGH	GH SCHOOL - 3	980						(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	851	85.1%	84	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	850	53.3%	88	
Writing	4	4	-	Exceeds	851	73.7%	92	
Science	3	4		Meets	424	69.3%	85	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets			- 55	
1000	15	10	01.570	Wicets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	806	59	7	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	805	49	51	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	806	68	27	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%			Growth reference	Growth reference	Growth:
-			80%	Meets	70		22	V
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	79	56	23	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	207	59	9	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	56	58	82	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	33	62	19	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	113	57	79	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	80	44	92	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	207	51	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	57	47	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	33	68	93	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	266	49	99	No
Writing	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	79	62	60	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	207	68	37	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	56	49	98	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	33	73	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	236	66	82	No
Total	44	60	73.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	362/ <b>408</b> /386/412		80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1,982	0.4%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	374	22.3	At/above State average	
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
·	-			95% Participati	ion Pata Mat			
Reading	97.8%					878	898	
Mathematics	98.0%			95% Participati		880	898	
Writing	97.8%			95% Participati		878	898	
Science	97.6%			95% Participati		441	452	
Colorado ACT	98.2%			95% Participati	on kate Met	374	381	

Scoring Guide Level: High School

Scoring Guide The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
				Tramework rom
• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	
• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1		
If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
lf the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•			
• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:		•		
• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	•		12	
• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	Approaching	2	,	
		1		
• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
		3		
	-			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).  If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:  below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. below 30. If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:  at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. below 40. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:  at or above 60. below 40 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 45. below 30 below 30. below 45 but at or above 55. below 47 but at or above 55. below 48 but at or above 59. below 49 but at or above 50. below 40 below 30 below 40 bel	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).  Does Not Meet fthe school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:  • at or above 60.  • below 60 but at or above 45.  • below 30.  Does Not Meet fthe school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:  • at or above 70.  • below 70 but at or above 55.  • below 70 but at or above 40.  • below 55 but at or above 40.  • below 55 but at or above 40.  • below 60 but at or above 40.  • below 60 but at or above 60.  • below 60 but at or above 40.  • below 60 but at or above 55.  • below 60 but at or above 55.  • below 60 but at or above 60.  • below 60 but at or above 90.  • at or above 70.  • below 55 but at or above 90.  • below 60 but at or above 90.  • at or above 90%.  • at or above 60.  • below 60 but but at or above 90%.  • at or abo	Does Not Meet   1	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).      • at or above 60.     • below 60 but at or above 45.     • below 30.     • below 30.     • below 70 but at or above 55.     • below 40.     • below 30.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 55 but at or above 45.     • below 40.     • below 55 but at or above 45.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 55 but at or above 45.     • below 40.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 40.     • below 40.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 50 but at or above 55.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 60 but at or above 50.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 50 but at or above 45.     • below 60 but at or above 60.     • below 70 but

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			

School plan type assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.					

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth 60-99 70-99 Exceeds Exceeds Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 30-44 40-54 Approaching Approaching 1-29 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Does not meet

Does not meet

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	89.0	90.8	91.0	91.0
Anticipated Year	2008	90.4	90.7	90.7	
of Graduation	2009	90.3	92.2		
	2010	90.1			

## This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	89.0	90.8	91.0	91.0
Anticipated	2008	90.4	90.7	90.7	
Year of	2009	90.3	92.2		
Graduation	2010	90.1			
	Aggregated	89.9	91.2	90.9	91.0

#### State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.