Level: Elementary School

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year\*\*\*)

School: CHEROKEE TRAIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2233

## **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Fr	amework Po	ints Ear	ned
Performance		at or	above	59%
Improvement	at or	above 47% -	below	59%
Priority Improvement	at or	above 37% -	below	47%
Turnaround			below	37%
Framework points	aro	calculated	ucina	tho

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 37.5 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		75.1%	( 75.1 out of 100 points )	moved from both the points earned and the points eligible, so

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicator							Level: Ele	ementary Scho
School: CHEROKEE TR	AIL ELEMENTA	ARY SCHOOL -	2233					(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1144	83.0%	77	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1142	81.2%	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1142	71.3%	83	
Science	3	4		Meets	292	56.2%	67	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	812	54	24	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	811	56	43	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	812	59	36	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
 Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	92	52	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	170	55	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	62	50	50	Yes
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	160	53	52	Yes
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	92	55	53	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	170	62	49	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	38	74	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	153	60	72	No
Writing State of the Control of the	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	92	65	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	170	58	39	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	62	57	74	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	284	57	58	No
Total	36	48	75%	Meets				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	1175	1176	
Mathematics	99.8%			95% Participation		1172	1174	
Writing	99.9%			95% Participation		1173	1174	
Science	99.7%			95% Participation		299	300	

Scoring Guide				Level: Eler	mentary Schoo
Scoring Guide for Pe	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				
Performance Indicat	torScoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		content area)		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	o
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
					1

<b>Cut-Points for eac</b>	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet			• below 37%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					

	Plan description
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

• below 55 but at or above 40.

• below 40.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Does Not Meet

## **Comparison Data**

#### **Academic Achievement**


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

		Reading		Math				Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading Math				Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Elem Middle High			Elem Middle High			Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

### 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.