School Performance Framework 2011

School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9739

Improvement Plan (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Approaching	37.5%	(9.4 out of 25 points)	
Meets	70.8%	(35.4 out of 50 points)	
Meets	65.0%	(16.3 out of 25 points)	
95% Participation Rate Met			
	61.1%	(61.1 out of 100 points)	
	Approaching Meets Meets	Approaching37.5%Meets70.8%Meets65.0%95% Participation Rate Met	Approaching37.5%(9.4 out of 25 points)Meets70.8%(35.4 out of 50 points)Meets65.0%(16.3 out of 25 points)95% Participation Rate Met

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Level: All Levels

Performance Indicate	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: WYATT-EDIS		LEMENTARY S	CHOOL					(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	679	46.7%	11	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	674	50.3%	16	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	677	28.7%	10	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	227	14.5%	9	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	443	48	50	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	444	46	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	443	42	58	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	396	49	51	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	434	48	51	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	37	77	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	217	47	53	No
Students needing to catch up) 2	4		Approaching	261	50	65	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching			·	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	396	46	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	435	45	65	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	36	82	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	220	47	66	No
Students needing to catch up) 2	4		Approaching	228	44	78	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	395	41	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	434	42	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	34	77	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	217	41	60	No
Students needing to catch up) 2	4		Approaching	342	42	64	No
Total	27	60	45%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		696	697	
Mathematics	99.1%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		690	696	
Writing	99.7%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		694	696	
Science	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		232	232	

Performance Indicate	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: WYATT-EDIS	ON CHARTER E	LEMENTARY S	CHOOL					(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	499	51.5%	15	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	498	35.7%	19	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	499	41.3%	19	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	154	14.3%	6	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	485	68	55	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	483	72	86	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	485	62	73	No
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	434	68	55	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	481	68	55	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	54	88	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	264	68	61	Yes
Students needing to catch up) 3	4		Meets	264	67	71	No
Mathematics	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	432	72	87	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	479	72	86	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	43	67	99	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	264	70	88	No
Students needing to catch up	b 4	4		Exceeds	341	75	94	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	434	62	74	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	481	62	73	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	44	65	95	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	264	60	78	No
Students needing to catch up	b 3	4		Meets	302	63	83	No
Total	51	60	85%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			ipation Rate Met		509	510	
Mathematics	99.6%			ipation Rate Met		508	510	
Writing	99.8%			ipation Rate Met		509	510	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		157	157	

coring Guide	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	ork Report										
	atorScoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir			
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or o	advanced was:										
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20)10 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10) baseline (3-year :	SPF).		Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ercentile of all schools using	g 2010 (1-year SPI	-) or 2008	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	ercentile of all schools using	g 2010 (1-year SPI	⁼) or 2008	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)				
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1 	-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	eline (3-year SPF).			Does Not Mee	t 1					
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median s	student growth pe	rcentile w	as:							
	• at or above 60.											
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 		Meets	3								
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 		Approaching	2	12							
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50						
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growth percentile and its n	median student gr	owth perc	entile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.											
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3									
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 											
	• below 40.					Does Not Mee	t 1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and i	its student growth	percentil	e was:							
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4					
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 60 but at or above 45.										
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 					Approaching	2					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee		(5 for each subgrou	•				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adeque	ate student growth percent	tile and its studen	t growth p	ercentile was:	-		group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 					Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.					Does Not Mee	t 1					
ut-Points for eacl	h performance indicator		Cut-Points	for plan	type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.			Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	total Framew	ork points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Fra	mework	• at or above 47% - be	low 59%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Poi	nts	• at or above 37% - be	low 47%		Pric	ority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			• below 37%				Turnaround			
chool plan type a	ssignments											
	Plan description											
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may n	ot implen	ent a Priority Improveme	ent and/or Turr	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of			
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive	e years be	fore the District or Institu	ite is required t	to restructure	e or close the schoo	l. The five			
riority Improvem	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive sch	ool years	commences on July 1 du	ring the summe	er immediate	ly following the fall	in which the			
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notifie	d that it i	s required to implement a	a Priority Impro	ovement or Tu	urnaround Plan.				

Reference

Comparison Data


Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.