School Performance Framework 2011

Level: Middle School

School: CLEAR CREEK MIDDLE SCHOOL - 1660

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	A
percentage of the total points they earned out of	
the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the	A
scoring guide below to determine the plan type.	_

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	41.7%	(10.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		62.6%	(62.6 out of 100 points)	
scores are not negatively impacted.	L			noved from both the points earned and the points eligible, so

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

District: CLEAR CREEK RE-1 - 0540 (1 Year***)

Performance Indicato							Level	: Middle Scho
School: CLEAR CREEK	MIDDLE SCHO	OL - 1660						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	122	73.8%	53	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	124	53.2%	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	122	62.3%	59	
Science	3	4		Meets	66	56.1%	62	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	114	45	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	115	44	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	113	48	42	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	27	58	32	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	38	68	No
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	38	67	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	40	91	No
Writing	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	27	41	58	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	37	79	No
Total	10	24	41.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.5%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	132	134	
Mathematics	99.3%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	134	135	
Writing	98.5%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	132	134	
Science	97.2%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	69	71	

coring Guide							Level	: Middle Schoo			
	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	ork Report									
erformance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or										
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20				Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th p					3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th p			8-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		2	content area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (2)	· · ·			Does Not Mee	et 1					
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile v	vas:		-					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4								
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	et 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	content area)									
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4					
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	below 70 but at or above 55.									
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching	2		l					
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	et 1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and in	ts student growth percenti	le was:							
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4						
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	et 1	(5 for each subgrou	p			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequ	ate student growth percent	ile and its student growth	percentile was:		-	group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	et 1					
t-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	type assignment	-						
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the	total Framew	ork points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 59%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - be	low 47%		Pric	ority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%		Turnaround					
hool plan type as	signments										
	Plan description										
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not impler	ment a Priority Improvem	ent and/or Tur	naround Plan	for longer than a co	ombined total of			
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years b	efore the District or Instit	ute is required	to restructur	e or close the schoo	l. The five			
riority Improveme	nt Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school year	s commences on July 1 du	iring the summ	ner immediate	ely following the fall	in which the			
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	Irnaround Plan.	school is notified that it	is required to implement	a Priority Impr	ovement or T	urnaround Plan.				

al. Middle Cab

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).





For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.