School Performance Framework 2011

Level: High School

District: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480 (3 Year***)

School: FAIRVIEW HIGH SCHOOL - 2892

Performance Plan

| This is the plan type the school is required to adopt | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based | | | | | | | |
| on their overall framework score, which is a | | | | | | | |
| percentage of the total points they earned out of | | | | | | | |
| the total points eligible in each performance | | | | | | | |
| indicator. The overall score is then matched to the | | | | | | | |
| scoring guide below to determine the plan type. | | | | | | | |
| indicator. The overall score is then matched to the | | | | | | | |

| | Framework Points Earned | Plan Assignment |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Aca | at or above 60% | Performance |
| | at or above 47% - below 60% | Improvement |
| _ | at or above 33% - below 47% | Priority Improvement |
| Pos | below 33% | Turnaround |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating | % of Points | Earned out of Points Eligible* |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Academic Achievement | Exceeds | 100.0% | (15.0 out of 15 points) |
| Academic Growth | Meets | 75.0% | (26.3 out of 35 points) |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 58.3% | (8.7 out of 15 points) |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Exceeds | 100.0% | (35.0 out of 35 points) |
| Test Participation** | 95% Participation Rate Met | | |
| TOTAL | | 85.0% | (85.0 out of 100 points) |
| impacted. | | | s, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively |

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | Leve | el: High Schoo |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| School: FAIRVIEW HIGH SCHOO | OL - 2892 | | | | | | | (3 Year*** |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | (0.100. |
| Reading | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 2769 | 89.7% | 95 | |
| Mathematics | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 2797 | 71.7% | 98 | |
| Writing | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 2774 | 79.9% | 97 | |
| Science | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 1308 | 78.4% | 97 | |
| Total | 16 | 16 | 100% | Exceeds | | | | |
| | | | | | | Median Growth | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 2568 | 48 | 3 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 2598 | 54 | 15 | Yes |
| Writing | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 2568 | 50 | 8 | Yes |
| Total | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | - | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | Subgroup N | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 13 | 20 | 65% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 158 | 48 | 43 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 538 | 54 | 3 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 157 | 42 | 23 | Yes |
| English Language Learners | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 181 | 51 | 23 | Yes |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 184 | 51 | 78 | No |
| Mathematics | 11 | 20 | 55% | Approaching | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 162 | 47 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 549 | 59 | 13 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 157 | 52 | 87 | No |
| English Language Learners | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 188 | 54 | 94 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 482 | 52 | 98 | No |
| Writing | 11 | 20 | 55% | Approaching | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 162 | 49 | 82 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 542 | 52 | 10 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 158 | 47 | 68 | No |
| English Language Learners | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 186 | 51 | 77 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 361 | 50 | 85 | No |
| Total | 35 | 60 | 58.3% | Approaching | | | | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation | |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 1900/ 1429 /962/468 | 91.6/ 92.2 /91.6/90.8% | 80% | |
| Dropout Rate | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 6,190 | 0.8% | At/below State average | |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 1,302 | 25.3 | At/above State average | |
| Total | 12 | 12 | 100.0% | Exceeds | | | ~ | |
| Test Participation 9 | % of Students Tested | 1 | | Rating | | Students Tested | Total Students | |
| Reading | 95.8% | | | 95% Participatio | on Rate Met | 2808 | 2932 | |
| Mathematics | 96.7% | | | 95% Participatio | | 2834 | 2930 | |
| Writing | 95.9% | | | 95% Participatio | | 2812 | 2932 | |
| Science | 94.0% | | | Does Not Meet | 95% Participation Rate | 1325 | 1409 | |
| Colorado ACT | 96.6% | | | 95% Participatio | | 1302 | 1348 | |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

| oring Guid <u>e for Perf</u> | ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo | rk Report | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| rformance Indicator | | | | | Rating | Point Value | Total Possible | Framework Po |
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a | advanced was: | | | | | | |
| | • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20 | | eline (3-vear SPF). | | Exceeds | 4 | 16 | + |
| Academic | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile | -10 baseline (3-vear SPF) | | 3 | (4 for each | 15 | | |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile | · · · · · | | 2 | content area) | | | |
| | below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1- | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | , | | | |
| | If the school meets the median adequate student growth | | | 75: | | <u> </u> | | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | | Approaching | 2 | 12 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (4 for each | 35 |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate student | arowth percentile and its medic | an student arowth nerc | entile was: | Does Not Mice | 4 - | content area) | 55 |
| Growth | • at or above 70. | growth percentile and its mean | in student growth pere | | Exceeds | 4 | content area | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 70 but at of above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | | 2 | | |
| | below 55 but at of above 40. below 40. | | | | Approaching Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude | ant arouth parcantila and its stu | ident growth percentil | | Does Not Mee | Чт | | |
| | • at or above 60. | ent growth percentile and its stu | ident growth percentile | e wus. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | | 3 | | |
| | | | | | Meets | | - | |
| A | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | | Approaching | 2 | 60 (5 fau agab aub annua | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | and the set of a set of a set of a | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (5 for each subgroup | |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa | ite student growth percentile di | na its student growth p | ercentile was: | | 1. | group in 3 content | 15 |
| | • at or above 70. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | areas) | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | Meets | 3 | | | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: | | | | L - · | 1 - | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | above 80% but below 90%. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | at or above 65% but below 80%. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 65%. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | | | | 12 | |
| ostsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| orkforce Readiness | at or below the state average but above 1% using 200 | 9 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 basel | line (3-year SPF). | | Meets | 3 | indicator) | |
| | • at or below 10% but above the state average using 20 | 09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 base | eline (3-year SPF). | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or above 10%. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co | olorado ACT composite score wa | as: | | | | | |
| | • at or above 22. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | • at or above the state average but below 22 using 201 | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 | 0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseli | ine (3-year SPF). | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or below 17. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| Doints for oach no | rformance indicator | | Cut-Points for plan t | una assignment | | • | | |
| | t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this | Indicator | | Cut Point: The school ea | rned of the t | otal Framow | ork points eligible | |
| | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | | • at or above 60% | inned of the t | otarrianew | | Performance |
| · · · · | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | • at or above 47% - be | low 60% | | | mprovement |
| | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | • at or above 33% - be | 10W 47% | | Prio | rity Improvem |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 33% | | | | Turnaround |
| nool plan type assig | | | | | | | | |
| | Plan description | | | | | | | |
| formance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Per | formance Plan. A s | school may not implem | ent a Priority Improvem | ent and/or Turr | naround Plan | for longer than a co | mbined total o |
| an an an a set Diam | The school is required to adopt and implement an In | norovomont Plan | e consecutive years he | fore the District or Instit | ute is required . | to restructur | e or close the school | The five |
| provement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement an in | | e consecutive years be | | ate is required | to restructur | e of close the school | . me nve |

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

| Percent of Stude | Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|------|
| | Reading | | | Math | | Writing | | | Science | | | |
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | | Reading | | Math | | Writing | | | Science | | | |
|-----------------|------|---------|------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|------|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 |

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness


This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

| | • • | | | | |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| | 2007 | 87.7 | 88.8 | 89.6 | 90.8 |
| Anticipated Year | 2008 | 91.2 | 93.3 | 93.5 | |
| of Graduation | 2009 | 93.4 | 94.5 | | |
| | 2010 | 93.9 | | | |

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|-------------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | 2007 | 87.7 | 88.8 | 89.6 | 90.8 |
| Anticipated | 2008 | 91.2 | 93.3 | 93.5 | |
| Year of | 2009 | 93.4 | 94.5 | | |
| Graduation | 2010 | 93.9 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 91.6 | <i>92.2</i> | 91.6 | 90.8 |

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | N of Students | Average Dropout Rate |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | N of Students | Average Score |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.