Level:	

All Levels

# School Performance Framework 2011 School: BOULDER UNIVERSAL - 0930

School: BOULDE	R UNIVERSAL - 0930				District: BO	OULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480(3 Year***)
	N/A	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
adopt and implement	e the school is required to nt. Schools are assigned a overall framework score,	Academic Achievement	-	-	( 0 out of 0 points )	
which is a percentage earned out of the to performance indicato	ge of the total points they otal points eligible in each or. The overall score is then scoring guide below to		-	-	( 0 out of 0 points )	
determine the plan ty	/pe.	Academic Growth Gaps		-	( 0 out of 0 points )	
Plan Assignment Performance	Framework Points Earned at or above 60%				( o out of o points )	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%			· · · · ·		
Priority Improvement Turnaround	at or above 33% - below 47% below 33%	Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	
•	are calculated using the ts earned out of points	Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate			
the total points po Academic Achieven	with data on all indicators, ossible are: 15 points for nent, 35 for Academic emic Growth Gaps, and 35			-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	I
for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.		* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind ** Schools do not receive points for test participation. Howe science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grad reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including)	ver, schools are assigned one plan category lower than the e levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all b	ir points indicate if	they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation	rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math,

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

### Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicato							Level: El	ementary Scho
School: BOULDER UN	NIVERSAL							(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/0	-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	-	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u> </u>	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1	Rati	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		-			1	1	
Mathematics	0.0%		-			0	1	
Writing	100.0%		-			1	1	
Science	0.0%		-			0	0	

Performance Indicato							Leve	I: Middle Scho
School: BOULDER UN	IVERSAL							(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Doints Earnod	Points Eligible	% Doints	Patina	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	Points Earned		% POINTS	Rating			•	
Reading Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
	0	0	%	-	IN<2U	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	- 5				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_		_
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	- · · · ·	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	- · · · ·	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	- · · ·	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d	Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	23.1%		-			3	13	
Mathematics	23.1%		-			3	13	
Writing	23.1%		-			3	13	
Science	22.2%		-			2	9	

3

SPF Combined 2011 0480 - 0930 (3 Year\*\*\*)

						Leve	: High Schoo
						_0.0	(3 Year***
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0	%	-				
Delate France d		0 Delate	Duting		Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
		% Points			Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
					-	-	-
							-
		<b>e</b> /		N<20	-	-	-
0	0	%	-				
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Ratina	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
						Growth r creentile	Growth.
		/0		N-20			-
							-
							-
							-
		0/		N<20	-	-	-
	-	%		NL -20			
							-
							-
							-
							-
		0/		N<20	-	-	-
		%					
							-
							-
							-
							-
		0/		N<20	-	-	-
-	_						
	_	% Points					
					-	-	
		0%		IN<10	-	At/above State average	
					Chudonta Tastad	Total Students	
-			-				
40.0%	D	oes Not Meet 95	% Participation Rate				
36.4%	~	one Net Meet OF	% Participation Rate		8	22	
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0         0           0         0	0         0           0         0	0         0         -           0         0         -           0         0         -           0         0         %	0         0         -         N<16           0         0         -         N<16	0         0         -         N<16         -           0         0         -         N<16	Points Earned         Points Eligible         % Points         Rating         N         % Proficient/Advanced         School's Percentile           0         0         0         N-16         -         -           0         0         -         N-16         -         -           0         0         -         N-16         -         -           0         0         %         -         -         -         -           0         0         %         -         -         -         -         -           0         0         %         -         -         Median Growth         Median Adequate Growth           Points Earned         Points Eligible         % Points         Rating         N         Percentile         -         -           0         0         -         N<20

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

ring Guid <u>e for Ber</u>	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Poport						
		rk Report			Detting	DeintValue	Total Dessible	Enner and Dai
rformance Indicato		- d			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or of		acolina (2 year CDC)		Evenede	1	10	
Acadamia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20			10 hasalina (2 year CDE)	Exceeds	4	16 (4 for each	
Academic Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen</li></ul>				Meets	2	(4 for each	
Achievement				-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	-	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1 If the school meets the median adequate student growth			201	Does Not Mee	1		
	• at or above 60.	percentile and its median sta	dent growth percentile w	15.	Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>					3		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at of above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Meets	2	12	
Acadomia					Approaching	1		
Academic	• below 30.	arouth porcontile and its me	dian student growth nors	antilawaa	Does Not Mee		(4 for each	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growin percentile and its me	alan student growth perc		Eveneda		content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets			
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.	ant arowth percentile and its	student growth percentil	W/CC	Does Not Mee	1		
	<ul> <li>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> </ul>	ent growth percentile and its .	student growth percentile	wus:	Excode	4		
	<ul> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Exceeds Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>					2	60	
Acadomic	below 45 but at of above 30.     below 30.				Approaching	1	60 (E for each subgrour	
Academic	• below 30. If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ate student growth perceptile	and its student arouth n	orcontilo was:	Does Not Mee	<u> </u>	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	ne student growth percentile	and its student growth p	ercentile was.	Evenede	1	group in 3 content	
	<ul> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Exceeds Meets	4	areas)	
						2		
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	1		
	• Below 40. Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Does Not Mee	<u> </u>		
					Eveneda			
	at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	1	10	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				Eveneda		12 (4 for each sub	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	0 (1			Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	
orkforce Readiness	5 5				Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 20	109 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 b	aseline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	alaurda ACT as massite assure			Does Not Mee	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score	was:		Europeda			
	• at or above 22.	0 (1			Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 201				Meets	-		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 201	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 bas	sellne (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	1		
-Points for each pe	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	otal Framev		
· -	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		<ul> <li>at or above 60%</li> </ul>				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - be</li> </ul>	low 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - be</li> </ul>	low 47%		Prio	rity Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assi								
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improveme	ent and/or Turn	around Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	fore the District or Institu	ute is required t	o restructur	e or close the school	. The five
ority Improvement	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July 1 du	ring the summe	er immediate	ly following the fall i	n which the
naround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	1.51	school is notified that it is					

## Reference

## Comparison Data

### Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)												
	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• • •				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16		
	2010	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Year of	2009	N<16	N<16		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

### State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score		
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0		
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1		

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.