

Improvement Plan	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*												
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Assignment</th> <th>Framework Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Performance</td> <td>at or above 60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Improvement</td> <td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Priority Improvement</td> <td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Turnaround</td> <td>below 33%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.</p>	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	31.3%	( 4.7 out of 15 points )	
	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
	Performance	at or above 60%													
	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
	Turnaround	below 33%													
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	( 23.3 out of 35 points )												
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.3%	( 11.0 out of 15 points )												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	41.7%	( 14.6 out of 35 points )												
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met														
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>53.6%</b>	<b>( 53.6 out of 100 points )</b>											

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.  
 \*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

**What do the performance indicators measure?**

**Academic Achievement**  
 The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

**Academic Growth**  
 The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

**Academic Growth Gaps**  
 The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

**Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**  
 The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: High School**

**School: HINKLEY HIGH SCHOOL - 4024**

**(1 Year\*\*\*)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	884	50.2%	10
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	887	21.5%	24
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	884	27.3%	10
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	470	27.4%	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>Does Not Meet</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	826	59	53	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	828	57	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	826	54	88	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	641	59	59	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	701	61	56	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	69	59	99	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	513	63	60	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	377	59	92	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	641	57	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	703	59	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	70	59	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	513	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	547	59	99	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	641	53	91	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	701	53	89	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	48	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	513	55	91	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	564	57	97	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Minimum State Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	474/473/464/444	55.7/65.1/62.1/52.7%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	2,682	6.5%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	416	16.9	At/above State average
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

<i>Test Participation</i>	<i>% of Students Tested</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Students Tested</i>	<i>Total Students</i>
Reading	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	976	989
Mathematics	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	979	988
Writing	98.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	977	989
Science	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	524	531
Colorado ACT	99.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	416	419

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16 (4 for each content area)	15	
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth	<i>If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			12 (4 for each content area)	35	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2				
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>			60 (5 for each subgroup group in 3 content areas)	15	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2				
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	<i>Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:</i>			12 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35	
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4			
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2			
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:</i>					
	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:</i>					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4			
• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3				
• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2				
• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator			Cut-Points for plan type assignment		
	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator.		Total Framework Points	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Gaps; Postsecondary	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School plan type assignments		
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
<b>N of Schools</b>	<b>1008</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>1007</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>1007</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>286</b>
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
<b>N of Schools</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>972</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>347</b>
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
		2007	42.5	49.2	50.9
2008	53.1	57.8	62.1		
2009	56.3	<b>65.1</b>			
2010	55.7				

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
		2007	42.5	49.2	50.9
2008	53.1	57.8	62.1		
2009	56.3	65.1			
2010	55.7				
<b>Aggregated</b>	<b>52.0</b>	<b>57.5</b>	56.6	52.7	

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above).

For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
<b>1-year (2009)</b>	416,953	3.6
<b>3-year (2007-09)</b>	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
<b>1-year (2010)</b>	51,438	20.0
<b>3-year (2008-10)</b>	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.