

**Improvement Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*		
Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	( 11.0 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	62.5%	( 31.3 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.2%	( 13.6 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>55.9%</b>	<b>( 55.9 out of 100 points )</b>	

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

**What do the performance indicators measure?**

**Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

**Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

**Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

School: GLOBAL VILLAGE ACADEMY

(3 Year\*\*\*)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	451	59.9%	25
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	452	53.3%	19
Writing	2	4		Approaching	452	42.5%	26
Science	2	4		Approaching	107	32.7%	28
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	257	46	41	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	259	37	57	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	258	48	48	Yes
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
<b>Reading</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	98	47	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	186	49	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	48	77	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	108	40	48	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	101	49	69	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>Does Not Meet</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	32	61	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	188	35	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	49	86	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	110	34	62	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	104	39	81	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	99	42	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	187	48	50	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	34	82	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	109	49	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	161	49	68	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students
Reading	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	455	457
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	456	457
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	456	457
Science	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	107	108

School: GLOBAL VILLAGE ACADEMY

(3 Year\*\*\*)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	191	61.8%	29
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	191	26.7%	11
Writing	2	4		Approaching	191	42.9%	21
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	21.6%	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	184	56	44	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	184	43	81	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	184	56	64	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
<b>Reading</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	103	58	49	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	146	57	49	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	43	85	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	104	64	58	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	81	66	73	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	103	42	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	146	44	81	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	39	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	104	43	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	115	45	94	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	103	56	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	146	56	69	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	47	97	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	104	57	73	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	105	58	81	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	195	195
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	195	195
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	195	195
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	38	38

**Scoring Guide**

**Level: All Levels**

**Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report**

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points	
<b>Academic Achievement</b>	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>			16 (4 for each content area)	25	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1			
<b>Academic Growth</b>	<i>If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			12 (4 for each content area)	50	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				
<b>Academic Growth Gaps</b>	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>			60 (5 for each subgroup group in 3 content areas)	25	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				

**Cut-Points for each performance indicator**

Cut-Points for each performance indicator			Cut-Points for plan type assignment		
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.		Total Framework Points	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.	
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround

**School plan type assignments**

	Plan description	
<b>Performance Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
<b>Improvement Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
<b>Priority Improvement Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
<b>Turnaround Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

**Reference**

**Comparison Data**

**Academic Achievement**

**Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)**

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
<b>N of Schools</b>	<b>1008</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>1007</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>1007</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>286</b>
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

**Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)**

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
<b>N of Schools</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>972</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>347</b>
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

**Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps**



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

**1-year vs. 3-year report**

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.