Level: Elementary School

District: BRIGHTON 27J - 0040 (3 Year\*\*\*)

School: SECOND CREEK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7714

## **Improvement Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	( 12.5 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	( 29.2 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.0%	( 11.3 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		53.0%	( 53.0 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indica							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: SECOND CR	,							(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	887	63.1%	31	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	893	60.1%	29	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	889	46.1%	32	
Science	2	4		Approaching	268	37.7%	36	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	586	48	38	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	592	42	56	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	588	40	44	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	e 2	4		Approaching	219	44	45	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	328	47	39	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	44	56	80	No
<b>English Language Learners</b>	2	4		Approaching	72	48	62	No
Students needing to catch u	<b>лр</b> 2	4		Approaching	219	51	62	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	e 1	4		Does Not Meet	221	37	63	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	332	39	60	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	37	85	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	34	70	No
Students needing to catch u	<b>лр</b> 2	4		Approaching	228	46	76	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	e 2	4		Approaching	219	40	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	329	40	47	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	32	79	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	73	41	68	No
Students needing to catch u	ı <b>p</b> 2	4		Approaching	334	45	61	No
Total	27	60	45%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%			95% Participation	Rate Met	935	943	
Mathematics	99.3%			95% Participation	Rate Met	943	950	
Writing	99.3%			95% Participation	Rate Met	939	946	
Science	99.6%			95% Participation	Rate Met	282	283	

Scoring Guide				Level: Ele	mentary School
Scoring Guide for Pe	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				
Performance Indicat		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		content area)		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		

	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround				
School plan type a	assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Pla	The school is required to adopt and implement a	n Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
<b>Priority Improven</b>	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a	Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Turnaround Plan	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Cut-Points for plan type assignment

Cut-Points for each performance indicator

## **Comparison Data**

### **Academic Achievement**


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

### 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.