Level: All Levels

School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850 District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (1 Year\*\*\*)

_					_	
ע	ort	orn	nan	2	PI	an
	CII	0111	Iai			ıaıı

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	54.2%	( 8.1 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	( 20.4 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.4%	( 7.7 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	( 29.2 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL  * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind		65.4%	( 65.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rc						Lovol: Flo	mentary Schoo
							Level: Lie	•
School: UNIVERSITY S		Dainta Flimible	0/ Dainta	Darkin a		0/ Ducticiont/Advanced	Cabaalla Bayaayatila	(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N 222	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	223	69.1%	<u>43</u> 51	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	225	71.6%		
Writing	2	4		Approaching	224	51.3%	44	
Science	2	4	EC 20/	Approaching	75	41.3%	40	
<u> </u>	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	143	41	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	144	43	38	Yes
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	33	36	No
	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
 Reading	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	29	42	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4	,	Meets	44	52	42	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	,	-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	47	50	61	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	29	43	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	45	43	61	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	38	43	78	No
Vriting	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	33	41	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	38	43	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	33	61	No
otal	16	36	44.4%	Approaching				
est Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.1%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		224	226	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		226	226	
Writing	99.6%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		225	226	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		75	75	

Performance Indicato	rs						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: UNIVERSITY S	SCHOOLS							(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	325	67.4%	39	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	328	52.4%	49	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	325	54.5%	41	
Science	2	4		Approaching	109	42.2%	38	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	315	48	33	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	318	51	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	315	46	50	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	74	46	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	108	49	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	25	51	90	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	49	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	104	49	67	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	75	46	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	109	52	77	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	34	97	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	40	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	147	46	88	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	34	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	108	44	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	33	92	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	43	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	147	50	76	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	% of Students Tested		F	Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.5%		95% Partic	pation Rate Met		325	330	
Mathematics	99.4%		95% Partic	pation Rate Met		328	330	
Writing	98.5%		95% Partic	pation Rate Met		325	330	
Science	98.2%		95% Partic	pation Rate Met		109	111	

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: High Schoo
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS								(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	220	68.6%	37	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	221	33.5%	49	
Writing	3	4		Meets	220	52.3%	54	
Science	2	4		Approaching	111	45.0%	39	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	7 - 1 - 0 - 1 - 1	Meets	209	45	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	210	60	96	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	208	54	45	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets	200			103
lotai	3	12	7370	IVICEUS				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	49	45	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	81	36	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	41	71	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	57	56	81	No
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	001071	Meets	50	55	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	82	57	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	54	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	131	57	99	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching	101	<u> </u>	- 55	.,,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	30.37	Meets	48	58	75	No
Minority Students	2	4			80	46	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	N<20		-	INO
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	49	 89	No -
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	87	52	91	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching	37			110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned				A/	Rate/Score	Minimum State Funcateties	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	Points Eligible  4	% Points	Rating Exceeds	N 89/101/95/ <b>71</b>	85.4/92.1/92.6/ <b>95.8</b> %	Minimum State Expectation 80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	443	0.2%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	83	19.0	At/above State average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets	83	15.0	At/above State average	
						Students Tasted	Total Students	
•	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested 222	Total Students 225	
Reading	98.7%			pation Rate Met				
Mathematics	99.1%			pation Rate Met		223	225	
Writing	98.7%			pation Rate Met		222	225	
Science Coloredo ACT	99.1%			pation Rate Met		113	114	
Colorado ACT	97.6%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		83	85	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

rer jormance maicau	rScoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	•		content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	,	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
C. C. I. II. Cups	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:		_		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	DOCS NOT WICE		12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readines		Meets	3	indicator)	
vorkioite keadilles	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	indicator,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	DOCS NOT WICE			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		
	- dt of below 17.	POES MOLIVIEE	1		
	erformance indicator  Cut-Points for plan type assignment t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.  Cut Point: The school ea				

<b>Cut-Points for each</b>	perform	nance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
	<b>Cut Point</b>	t: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points el	igible.		
Achievement;	• at or	et or above 87.5%			• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or	above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
	• at or	above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet				• below 33%	Turnaround		
School plan type as	ssignmen	its						
		Plan description						
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer th	an a combined total of		
Improvement Plan	ı	The school is required to adopt and implement an In	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five				
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the				
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

## Comparison Data

#### **Academic Achievement**

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	95.7	95.7	95.8	95.8
Anticipated Year	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	
of Graduation	2009	89.2	92.1		
	2010	85.4			

## This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	95.7	95.7	95.8	95.8
Anticipated	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	
Year of	2009	89.2	92.1		
Graduation	2010	85.4			
	Aggregated	90.4	93.2	94.0	95.8

#### State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.