School: NORWOOD HIGH SCHOOL - 6422 District: NORWOOD R-2J - 2840 (3 Year***)

| Performance | п | | |
|-------------|---|-------|--|
| Performance | М | lan - | |
| | | | |

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 60% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% |
| Turnaround | below 33% |
| | |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating | % of Points | s Earned out of Points Eligible* |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| Academic Achievement | Meets | 68.8% | (10.3 out of 15 points) |
| Academic Growth | Meets | 62.5% | (21.9 out of 35 points) |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 59.1% | (8.9 out of 15 points) |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Meets | 66.7% | (23.3 out of 35 points) |
| Test Participation** | 95% Participation Rate Met | | |
| TOTAL | | 64.4% | (64.4 out of 100 points) the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively |

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to the of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate average Colorado ACT composite scores.



^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

| Performance Indicators | Yes No Yes |
|---|---|
| Academic Achievement Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N % Proficient/Advanced School's Percentile Reading 3 4 Meets 148 78.4% 71 Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 148 50.0% 47 Writing 3 4 Meets 148 66.4.2% 63 Science 3 4 Meets 57 61.4% 75 Total 11 16 68.8% Meets 61.4% 75 Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 2 4 Approaching 139 40 23 Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 140 42 65 Writing 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% <t< th=""><th>Made Adequate rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes</th></t<> | Made Adequate rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes |
| Reading 3 | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 148 50.0% 47 Writing 3 4 Meets 148 64.2% 63 Science 3 4 Meets 57 61.4% 75 Total 11 16 68.8% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Points Earned Points Eligible Points Eligible Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 2 4 Approaching 139 40 23 Miniting 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Meets 139 50 43 Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible Points Rating Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching V Percentile Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Reading <th>rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate</th> | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Writing 3 4 Meets 148 64.2% 63 Science 3 4 Meets 57 61.4% 75 Total 11 16 68.8% Meets Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 2 4 Approaching 139 40 23 Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 140 42 65 Writing 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adeq Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adeq Reading 4 8 50% Approaching V Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Science 3 | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 2 4 Approaching 139 40 23 65 | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 2 4 Approaching 139 40 23 Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 140 42 65 Writing 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adeq Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching ** ** ** Percentile Growth Percentile Growth Percentile ** | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Reading 2 4 Approaching 139 40 23 Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 140 42 65 Writing 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adeq Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 - N N 0 2 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N 20 - - Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 <th< td=""><td>rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate</td></th<> | rcentile Growth? Yes No Yes Wade Adequate |
| Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 140 42 65 Writing 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Reading Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating Subgroup Nedian Growth Subgroup Median Growth Percentile Subgroup Median Growth Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching 4 4 Approaching 4 4 Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - - Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N 20 - - Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - - | No Yes uate Made Adequate |
| Writing 3 4 Meets 139 50 43 Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adeq. Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adeq. Reading 4 8 50% Approaching 41 40 23 Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 28 54 60 Minority Students 0 0 Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - - - - | Yes uate Made Adequate |
| Total 7 12 58.3% Approaching Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible Reading 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 4 | uate Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 0 - N<20 N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 English Language Learners 0 0 0 - N<20 Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 0 - N<20 Students W/Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 Students N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N | |
| Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Reading 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 0 0 - N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 N<20 Students w/ Disabilities 0 N<20 | |
| Reading 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - English Language Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching 4 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - - Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 41 40 23 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 | |
| Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 | |
| Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 28 54 60 Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - | - |
| Mathematics 4 8 50% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 | - |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 43 47 65 Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - | No |
| Minority Students 0 0 0 N<20 | |
| Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - | No |
| · | - |
| English Language Learners 0 0 N/20 | - |
| English Language Learners 0 0 - 10×20 - 10×20 - 10×20 | - |
| Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 55 50 84 | No |
| Writing 5 8 62.5% Meets | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 41 52 43 | Yes |
| Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 | - |
| English Language Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - | - |
| Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 50 51 68 | No |
| Total 13 24 54.2% Approaching | |
| Test Participation % of Students Tested Rating Students Tested Total Students | |
| Reading 100.0% 95% Participation Rate Met 150 150 | |
| Mathematics100.0%95% Participation Rate Met150150 | |
| Writing 100.0% 95% Participation Rate Met 150 150 | |
| Science 100.0% 95% Participation Rate Met 58 58 | |

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | Leve | el: High School |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| School: NORWOOD HIGH SCHO | OOL | | | | | | | (3 Year***) |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 95 | 77.9% | 70 | |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 96 | 29.2% | 45 | |
| Writing | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 95 | 51.6% | 53 | |
| Science | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 48 | 52.1% | 53 | |
| Total | 11 | 16 | 68.8% | Meets | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 89 | 46 | 15 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 91 | 60 | 94 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 89 | 45 | 50 | No |
| Total | 8 | 12 | 66.7% | Meets | | | | 110 |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | | Rating | N | Growth Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 24 | 53 | 29 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | <u>-</u> | - | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | <u>-</u> | - | |
| Mathematics | 6 | 8 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 24 | 60 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | = | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 62 | 62 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 4 | 8 | 50% | Approaching | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 24 | 41 | 88 | No |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 43 | 45 | 86 | No |
| Total | 13 | 20 | 65% | Meets | | | | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation | |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 94/ 78 /46/- | 86.2/ 89.7 /87/-% | 80% | |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 297 | 2.0% | At/below State average | |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 48 | 18.3 | At/above State average | |
| Total | 8 | 12 | 66.7% | Meets | | | , | |
| | 6 of Students Tested | | R | ating | | Students Tested | Total Students | |
| Reading | 99.0% | | | pation Rate Met | | 95 | 96 | |
| Mathematics | 100.0% | - | | pation Rate Met | | 96 | 96 | |
| Writing | 99.0% | | | pation Rate Met | | 96 | 97 | |
| Science | 100.0% | - | | pation Rate Met | | 48 | 48 | |
| Colorado ACT | 98.0% | | - | pation Rate Met | | 48 | 49 | |
| COLORADO ACT | 50.070 | | 3370 T di ((CI) | pation nate Met | | | 7.7 | |

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

| formance Indicator | ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report Scoring Guide | Rating | Point Value | Total Possible | Framework Poi |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: | Ruting | rome value | TOTAL F 033IDIE | Trainework For |
| | • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). | Exceeds | 4 | 16 | |
| Academic | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) | Meets | 3 | (4 for each | 15 |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) | | 2 | content area) | 15 |
| Acinevement | • below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). | Does Not Mee | 1 | content area; | |
| | If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: | DOCS NOT WICE | 1 - | | |
| | • at or above 60. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | Approaching | 2 | 12 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | Does Not Mee | 1 | (4 for each | 35 |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: | DOES NOT WICE | | content area) | |
| G.O | • at or above 70. | Exceeds | 4 | content area; | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | Does Not Meet | 1 | | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: | DOCS NOT WICE | 1 - | | |
| | • at or above 60. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | 3 | - | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | Meets Approaching | 2 | 60 (5 for each subgroup | |
| Academic | • below 30. | Does Not Mee | | | |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: | _ | group in 3 content | 15 | |
| | • at or above 70. | Exceeds | 4 | areas) | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | 3 | , | |
| | • below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | Does Not Mee | 1 | | |
| | Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: | | | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | • above 80% but below 90%. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | • at or above 65% but below 80%. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 65%. | Does Not Mee | 1 | | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | 12 | |
| ostsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | Exceeds | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| orkforce Readiness | • at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). | Meets | 3 | indicator) | |
| | • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). | Approaching | 2 | , | |
| | • at or above 10%. | Does Not Mee | 1 | | |
| | Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was: | | | | |
| | • at or above 22. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). | Meets | 3 | - | |
| | • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or below 17. | Does Not Mee | 1 | | |
| -Points for each per | | | | | |

| Cut-Points for each | performance indicator | | Cut-Points for plan type assignment | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. | | | | Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible. | | | | |
| Achievement; | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | | • at or above 60% | Performance | | | |
| Growth; Gaps | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | • at or above 47% - below 60% | Improvement | | | |
| | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | • at or above 33% - below 47% | Priority Improvement | | | |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 33% | Turnaround | | | |
| School plan type as | School plan type assignments | | | | | | | |
| Plan description | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined to | | | | | | | | |

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

| | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | Reading | | | Math | | Writing | | | Science | | | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|------|--------|------|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 |

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2007 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2008 | 84.8 | 90.9 | 90.9 | |
| of Graduation | 2009 | 87.9 | 93.8 | | |
| | 2010 | N<16 | | | |

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2007 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated | 2008 | 84.8 | 90.9 | 90.9 | |
| Year of | 2009 | 87.9 | 93.8 | | |
| Graduation | 2010 | N<16 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 86.2 | 89.7 | 87.0 | N<16 |

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | N of Students | Average Dropout Rate |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | N of Students | Average Score |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.