School Performance Framework 2011

District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680 (1 Year***)

Level: All Levels

School: WILEY JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 9608

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a
plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they
earned out of the total points eligible in each
performance indicator. The overall score is then
matched to the scoring guide below to
determine the plan type.

Performance Plan

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the							
percentage of points earned out of points							
eligible. For schools with data on all indicators,							
the total points possible are: 15 points for							
Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic							
Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35							
for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.							

Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	*
Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Meets	62.5%	(21.9 out of 35 points)	
Approaching	60.0%	(9.0 out of 15 points)	
Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
95% Participation Rate Met			
	69.5%	(69.5 out of 100 points)	
-	Meets Meets Approaching Meets	Meets62.5%Meets62.5%Approaching60.0%Meets83.3%95% Participation Rate Met	Meets62.5%(9.4 out of 15 points)Meets62.5%(21.9 out of 35 points)Approaching60.0%(9.0 out of 15 points)Meets83.3%(29.2 out of 35 points)95% Participation Rate MetImage: Comparison of the second se

impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level	: Middle Scho
School: WILEY JUNI		H SCHOOL						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	44	68.2%	40	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	44	43.2%	33	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	44	56.8%	48	
Science	2	4		Approaching	22	31.8%	24	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	44	57	41	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	44	42	75	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	44	75	70	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	21	56	45	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	o 0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	31	75	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	o 1	4		Does Not Meet	24	29	94	No
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	21	75	73	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	o 3	4		Meets	26	61	75	No
Total	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		44	44	
Mathematics	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		44	44	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		44	44	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		22	22	

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: WILEY JUNIOR-SENIOR	HIGH SCHOOL							(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	3	4		Meets	42	76.2%	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	42	38.1%	62	
Writing	3	4		Meets	42	64.3%	80	
Science	3	4		Meets	28	53.6%	56	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
A malancia Consulta	Definite Francisco		0 Dainta	Outline.	A /		Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	40	42	11	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	40	43	79	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	40	44	26	Yes
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Subaroun	Subgroup Median	Subaroun Modian Adoquato	Made
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,.	-	N<20		-	- -
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Mathematics	0	0	%	-	11120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20		-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
			0/		N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-	N - 20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	%	-	N<20	-	-	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	-/28/ 16 /21	-/89.3/ 100 /95.2%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	86	0.0%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	17	17.8	At/above State average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets			,	
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		42	42	
Mathematics	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		42	42	
Writing	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		42	42	,
Science	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		28	28	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			-		17	17	,

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guide	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				evel: All Lev
oring Guide for Pen erformance Indicato		Patina	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
rjormance inaicato		Rating	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Pol
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Exceeds		16	
Acadomia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	4	16 (4 for each	15	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year S			15	
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year S	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	L E conto			
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds Meets	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				
	below 45 but at or above 30.	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		.	content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou	р
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•		group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee			
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	-		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee		-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	Does not mee	· ·	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	35
orkiorce Reduiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). 	Approaching	2	malcatory	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	-		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	DUES NUT MEE	<u>ч</u> т		
	• at or above 22.	Europeda	1		
	 at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). 	Exceeds	4		
		Meets	_		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
t-Points for each pe	erformance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The schoo	earned of the	total Framev	ork points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Total Framework • at or above 47%	below 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 33%	below 47%		Pric	ority Improveme
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33%				Turnaround
hool plan type assig	gnments				
	Plan description				
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improve	ement and/or Tur	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	-			
•	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	•			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading				Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math		Writin		Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	95.2	95.2	95.2	95.2
Anticipated Year	2008	87.5	100.0	100.0	
of Graduation	2009	85.7	89.3		
	2010	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	95.2	95.2	95.2	95.2
Anticipated	2008	87.5	100.0	100.0	
Year of	2009	85.7	89.3		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	90.8	93.8	<i>97.3</i>	95.2



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.