#### School Performance Framework 2011

# Level: All Levels

School: GATEWAY SCHOOL - 3350

Performance Indicators Rating % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible\* **Performance Plan** This is the plan type the school is required to Academic Achievement (0 out of 0 points) adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each Academic Growth (0 out of 0 points) performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to Academic Growth Gaps (0 out of 0 points) Framework Points Earned at or above 60% at or above 47% - below 60% at or above 33% - below 47% Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness (0 out of 0 points) helow 33% Framework points are calculated using the **Test Participation\*\*** 95% Participation Rate Met percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for TOTAL ( 0.0 out of 0 points ) Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 \* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness. impacted.

> \*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment

Priority Improvement

Performance

Improvement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000 (1 Year\*\*\*)

Performance Indicato							Level. El	ementary Scho
School: GATEWAY SC	CHOOL							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	, =	-	N<20			-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		_
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	· _ · · · ·	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d	Rati	ng		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		-			12	12	
Mathematics	100.0%		-			12	12	
Writing	100.0%		-			12	12	
Science	100.0%		-			4	4	

Performance Indicato							Leve	I: Middle Scho
School: GATEWAY SC	CHOOL							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Fotal	0	0	%	-				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				Ciontini
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20	-	-	<u>.</u>
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		<u> </u>	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-		-		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/0	-	N<20	<u> </u>	- -	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Nriting	0	0	%	-	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d	Rati	ng		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		-			6	6	
Mathematics	100.0%		-			6	6	
Writing	100.0%		-			6	6	
Science	100.0%		-			1	1	

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
School: GATEWAY SCHOOL								(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,,,		N<20		-	_
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20		-	
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	
Total	0	0	%	-	11120			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0		N/A	-/-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%	
Dropout Rate	0	0		-	N<16	-	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	0	0		-	N<16		At/above State average	
Total	0	0	0%	-				
	% of Students Tested	1	Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%					5	5	
Mathematics	100.0%		-			5	5	
Writing	100.0%		-			5	5	
Science	100.0%		-	-		1	1	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		-			2	2	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guido for Der	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	vrk Poport						
rformance Indicato		лк кероп			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
rjormance maicato	-	advanced was			каста	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Pol
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or of • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20		acolino (2 year SPE)		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile</li> </ul>			-10 hasoling (3-year SPE)	Meets	3	(4 for each	
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th pe</li></ul>				Approaching	2	content area)	
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1)</li> </ul>				Does Not Meet	2 t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth			ac.	Does Not Miee	<b>4</b>		
	• at or above 60.	percentile and its median sta			Exceeds	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 45.				Approaching	2	. 12	
Academic	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 50.</li> <li>below 30.</li> </ul>				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	arowth percentile and its me	edian student arowth nerg	entile was:	Does Not Meet	<u> </u>	content area)	
Growth	• at or above 70.	growin percentile unu its me	eululi studellt growth perc		Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	-	
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at of above 35.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>					2	-	
	• below 35 but at of above 40.				Approaching	2 1	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its	student growth parcontil	2 W/05'	Does Not Mee	4 <u>+</u>		
	• at or above 60.	ent growth percentile und its	student growth percentili	. wus.	Exceeds	4	4	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	-	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 45 but at of above 50.				Does Not Meet	2 1	5 for each subgrou	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ate student growth percentil	a and its student arowth r	arcantila was:	DOES NOT MEE	<u>4</u> -	group in 3 content	
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	ale stadent growth percentile	e unu no student growth p		Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	aieasj	
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 33.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	2	-					
	• below 35 but at of above 40.				Approaching Does Not Meet	1	-	
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Does Not Miee	<b>4</b>		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	-	
	• above 80% but below 90%.					3	- !	
	<ul> <li>above 80% but below 90%.</li> <li>at or above 65% but below 80%.</li> </ul>				Meets	2	-	
					Approaching		-	
	below 65%. Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				Does Not Mee	t 1	12	
ostooondow.ond					Eveneda	1	-	
ostsecondary and orkforce Readines	• at or below 1%.	20(1)(2007)(0)	acolina (2 year CDC)		Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	
orkiorce Readines:	<b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>				Meets	2	indicator)	
	<ul> <li>at or below 10% but above the state average using 20</li> <li>at or above 10%.</li> </ul>	JU9 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 L	Jaseline (3-year SPF).		Approaching Does Not Meet	1	-	
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composito score			DOES NOT MEE	<b>ч</b> <u> </u>		
	• at or above 22.	olorado ACT composite score	e wus.		Eveneda	1 4	-	
	<ul> <li>at or above 22.</li> <li>at or above the state average but below 22 using 201</li> </ul>	0 (1 year SPE) or 2009 10 ba	colino (2 year SDE)		Exceeds	4	-	
					Meets		-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 201	.0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 ba	sellne (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2	-	
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	otal Framev	vork points eligible.	
· · ·	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - be</li> </ul>	low 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - be</li> </ul>	low 47%		Prio	rity Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assi								
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe		A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improveme	ent and/or Turn	around Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir		five consecutive years be					
ority Improvement	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July 1 du	ring the summe	er immediate	ely following the fall	in which the
naround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu			required to implement a				

# Reference

# Comparison Data

### Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• • •				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16		
	2010	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Year of	2009	N<16	N<16		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.