This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned

| Performance | at or above 60\% |
| :--- | ---: |
| Improvement $\quad$ at or above $47 \%$ - below $60 \%$ |  |
| Priority Improvement at or above $33 \%$ - below $47 \%$ |  |

Priority Improvement at or above 33\% - below 47\%
Turnaround below 33\%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.
 writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

## What do the performance indicators measure?

## Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

## School: POUDRE HIGH SCHOOL - 7124

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2342 | 74.3\% | 57 |  |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2345 | 46.4\% | 82 |  |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2345 | 59.8\% | 74 |  |
| Science | , | 4 |  | Meets | 1286 | 58.8\% | 68 |  |
| Total | 12 | 16 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2161 | 43 | 6 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2165 | 45 | 60 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2163 | 43 | 21 | Yes |
| Total | 6 | 12 | 50\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 11 | 20 | 55\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 608 | 42 | 34 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 532 | 48 | 25 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 159 | 35 | 91 | No |
| English Language Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 304 | 49 | 34 | Yes |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 447 | 40 | 77 | No |
| Mathematics | 5 | 20 | 25\% | Does Not Meet |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 615 | 36 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 536 | 38 | 91 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 160 | 29 | 99 | No |
| English Language Learners | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 305 | 37 | 98 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 886 | 38 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 7 | 20 | 35\% | Does Not Meet |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 608 | 38 | 72 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 533 | 45 | 59 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 159 | 31 | 99 | No |
| English Language Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 305 | 43 | 73 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 688 | 38 | 89 | No |
| Total | 23 | 60 | 38.3\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation |  |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2111 / 1634 / 1085 / \\ 539 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 81.6/84.2/83.9/83.7\% | 80\% |  |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 6,245 | 2.8\% | At/below State average |  |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 4 | 4 |  | Exceeds | 1,188 | 22.0 | At/above State average |  |
| Total | 10 | 12 | 83.3\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Test Participation | \% of Students Tested |  |  | Rating |  | Students Tested | Total Students |  |
| Reading | 96.9\% |  |  | 95\% Participation | Rate Met | 2438 | 2515 |  |
| Mathematics | 96.9\% |  |  | 95\% Participation | Rate Met | 2440 | 2519 |  |
| Writing | 97.0\% |  |  | 95\% Participation | Rate Met | 2440 | 2515 |  |
| Science | 95.4\% |  |  | 95\% Participation | Rate Met | 1328 | 1392 |  |
| Colorado ACT | 96.0\% |  |  | 95\% Participation | Rate Met | 1188 | 1238 |  |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data. 2

SPF Non-Combined 2011 1550-7124-3 Year

| Performance IndicatorScoring Guide |  |  |  |  |  | Rating | Point Value |  | Framework Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Achievement | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline ( 3 -year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 | 16(4 for eachcontent area) |  |
|  | - below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  | 15 |
|  | - below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Academic Growth | If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> 12 <br> (4 for each <br> content area) |  |
|  | - at or above 60. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 60 but at or above 45. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 45 but at or above 30 . |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 30. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  | 35 |
|  | If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 70. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 70 but at or above 55. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 55 but at or above 40 . |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 40. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 60. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 60 but at or above 45. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 45 but at or above 30 . |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 | 60 |  |
|  | - below 30. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 | 5 for each subgroup |  |
|  | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  | group in 3 content | 15 |
|  | - at or above 70. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 70 but at or above 55. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 55 but at or above 40. |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 40. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 <br> (4 for each subindicator) |  |
|  | - at or above 90\%. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - above $80 \%$ but below $90 \%$. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - at or above 65\% but below $80 \%$. |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 65\%. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
|  | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or below $1 \%$. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  | 35 |
|  | - at or below the state average but above 1\% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - at or below $10 \%$ but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - at or above 10\%. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
|  | Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 22. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | at or below 17. |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Cut-Points for each performance indicator ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {at-Points for plan type assignment }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. |  |  |  | Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Achievement; Growth; Gaps; Postsecondary | - at or above $87.5 \%$ |  | Exceeds | Total Framework Points | - at or above 60\% |  |  | Performance <br> Improvement |  |
|  | - at or above $62.5 \%$ - below $87.5 \%$ |  | Meets |  | - at or above 47\% - below 60\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 37.5\% - below $62.5 \%$ |  | Approaching |  | - at or above $33 \%$ - be | low 47\% |  | Prior | rity Improvement |
|  | - below 37.5\% |  | Does Not Meet |  | - below $33 \%$ |  |  |  | Turnaround |
| School plan type assignments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plan description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improvement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority Improvement Plan T |  | The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. |  | five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnaround Plan |  | The school is required to adop | nd Plan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Reference

## Comparison Data

## Academic Achievement

| Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |


|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 |

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

| This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| $*$ <br> Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated <br> Year of <br> Graduation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 82.1 | 83.8 | 84.0 | 83.7 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 80.3 | 83.6 | 83.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 82.1 | 85.1 |  |  |
| Aggregated | 81.6 |  | 83.9 | 83.7 |

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

|  | N of Students | Average Dropout Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | $1,238,096$ | 3.9 |

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

|  | N of Students | Average Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).




 of the graduation bases across all available years.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report




 indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

