School: LIBERTY COMMON CHARTER SCHOOL - 5120 District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne				
Performance	at or above 60				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60				
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47				
Turnaround	below 339				
Framowork points are	s calculated using th				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(15.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	86.1%	(30.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	87.5%	(13.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc		89.5%	(58.2 out of 65 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Level: All Levels

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Doufoussans Indicate	ме.						Lovel, El	amantanı Caha
Performance Indicato							Level: Eli	ementary Scho
School: LIBERTY COM			0/ 5	5.11		0/5 6: 1/4		(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	605	97.0%	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	606	92.6%	96	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	603	90.2%	99	
Science	4	4	1000/	Exceeds	201	78.1%	94	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
A and a wais Cuswah	Dainta Faunad	Dainta Flimible	0/ Doints	Doublin or	N/	Mading Curreth Properties	Adadian Adamata Crauth Barantila	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	377	47	15	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	379	57	30	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	375	61	21	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
eading	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	56	65	9	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	56	53	22	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Vriting	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	54	59	19	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	40	58	45	Yes
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Testea	1	Rat	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		608	609	
Mathematics	100.0%			tion Rate Met		609	609	
Writing	99.7%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		606	608	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		203	203	

Reading 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.4% Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 684 93.9% Writing 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.1% Science 4 4 Exceeds 182 89.0% Total 16 16 100% Exceeds Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adeq Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	Level: Middle Scho (3 Year** pool's Percentile 99 99 99 98 Made Adequate Growth? Yes 38 Yes 18 Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth?
School: LIBERTY COMMON CHARTER SCHOOL Academic Achievement Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N % Proficient/Advanced School Reading 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.4% Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 684 93.9% Writing 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.1% Science 4 4 Exceeds 182 89.0% Total 16 16 100% Exceeds 89.0% Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adeq Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	(3 Year** pool's Percentile 99 99 99 98 Made Adequate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Academic AchievementPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingN% Proficient/AdvancedSchoolReading44Exceeds68398.4%Mathematics44Exceeds68493.9%Writing44Exceeds68398.1%Science44Exceeds18289.0%Total1616100%ExceedsAcademic GrowthPoints EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingNMedian Growth PercentileMedian AdeqReading34Meets64859Mathematics44Exceeds64876Writing44Exceeds64873Total111291.7%Exceeds	99 99 99 98 Made Adequate guate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Reading 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.4% Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 684 93.9% Writing 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.1% Science 4 4 Exceeds 182 89.0% Total 16 16 100% Exceeds Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adeq Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	99 99 98 Made Adequate quate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 684 93.9% Writing 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.1% Science 4 4 Exceeds 182 89.0% Total 16 16 100% Exceeds Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adeq Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	99 98 Made Adequate quate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Writing 4 4 Exceeds 683 98.1% Science 4 4 Exceeds 182 89.0% Total 16 16 100% Exceeds Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adeq Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	99 98 Made Adequate quate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Science 4 4 Exceeds 182 89.0% Total 16 16 100% Exceeds Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adeq Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	98 Made Adequate quate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Total 16 16 100% Exceeds Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequation Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	Made Adequate quate Growth Percentile 10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Reading 3 4 Meets 648 59 Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	10 Yes 38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Mathematics 4 4 Exceeds 648 76 Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	38 Yes 18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Writing 4 4 Exceeds 648 73 Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	18 Yes Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Total 11 12 91.7% Exceeds	Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate
Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup N	
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile	
Reading 9 12 75% Meets	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 32 46	12 Yes
Minority Students 4 4 Exceeds 100 71	12 Yes
Students w/ Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 40 44	21 Yes
English Language Learners 0 0 - N<20 -	-
Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20 -	-
Mathematics 15 16 93.8% Exceeds	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 4 4 Exceeds 32 75	40 Yes
Minority Students 4 4 Exceeds 100 77	39 Yes
Students w/ Disabilities 3 4 Meets 40 67	70 No
English Language Learners 0 0 - N<20 -	<u> </u>
Students needing to catch up 4 4 Exceeds 49 79	74 Yes
Writing 12 12 100% Exceeds	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 4 4 Exceeds 32 67	21 Yes
Minority Students 4 4 Exceeds 100 76	19 Yes
Students w/ Disabilities 4 4 Exceeds 40 73	40 Yes
English Language Learners 0 0 - N<20 -	<u> </u>
Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20 -	<u> </u>
Total 36 40 90% Exceeds	
Test Participation % of Students Tested Rating Students Tested To	otal Students
Reading100.0%95% Participation Rate Met688	688
Mathematics 100.0% 95% Participation Rate Met 689	689
Writing100.0%95% Participation Rate Met688	688
Science 100.0% 95% Participation Rate Met 183	183

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High School
School: LIBERTY COMMON CHA	ARTER SCHOOL							(3 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	74	98.6%	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	74	71.6%	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	74	94.6%	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	24	95.8%	99	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	63	72	3	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	63	75	23	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	63	44	6	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
A	Defeate Former d	Datata Eliailda	O/ Dainta	D. Maria	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0		N/A	-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%	
Dropout Rate	0	0		-	N<16	-	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	0	0		-	N<16	-	At/above State average	
Total	0	0	0%	-			-	
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1	R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		76	77	
Mathematics	98.7%			pation Rate Met		76	77	
Writing	98.7%			pation Rate Met		76	77	
Science	100.0%			pation Rate Met		25	25	
Colorado ACT	0.0%			<u> </u>				

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

Performance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
erjormance maicatoi		Kating	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	- Francisco	4	1.5	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	3	16	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	2	(4 for each	15
Achievement			-	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Does Not Meet	1		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		3		
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.	Meets	2	12	
A d		Approaching	-	12	25
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:		1 .		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	1
Growth Gaps	f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:		group in 3 content	15	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:				
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		
				1	

Cut-Points for each	n perform	nance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point	t: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or	at or above 87.5% Exceeds			• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or	above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• belov	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet			• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type as	ssignmen	its							
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	vement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five				
Priority Improvem	Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.			consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16		
	2010	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Year of	2009	N<16	N<16		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.