School Performance Framework 2011

District: LA VETA RE-2 - 1400 (3 Year***)

Level: All Levels

School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 4864

| This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then |
|---|
| matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. |
| |

Improvement Plan

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 60% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% |
| Turnaround | below 33% |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating | % of Point | ts Earned out of Points Eligible* |
|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Academic Achievement | Meets | 62.5% | (9.4 out of 15 points) |
| Academic Growth | Approaching | 41.7% | (14.6 out of 35 points) |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 40.3% | (6.0 out of 15 points) |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Meets | 75.0% | (26.3 out of 35 points) |
| Test Participation** | 95% Participation Rate Met | | |
| TOTAL | | 56.3% | (56.3 out of 100 points) |
| * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind impacted. | licator due to insufficient counts of student | is. In these cases, | , the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively |

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

| Performance Indicate | ors | | | | | | Level: | Middle Schoo |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| School: LA VETA JUN | NOR-SENIOR HI | GH SCHOOL | | | | | | (3 Year** |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 100 | 66.0% | 37 | |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 102 | 47.1% | 41 | |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 102 | 52.0% | 34 | |
| Science | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 53 | 54.7% | 63 | |
| Total | 9 | 16 | 56.3% | Approaching | | | | |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 93 | 25 | 26 | No |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 94 | 24 | 64 | No |
| Writing | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 97 | 21 | 43 | No |
| Total | 3 | 12 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | Subgroup N | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 12 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 47 | 26 | 30 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 25 | 35 | 37 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | _ | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | b 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 29 | 32 | 50 | No |
| Mathematics | 3 | 12 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 49 | 24 | 68 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 25 | 36 | 68 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | b 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 38 | 29 | 82 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 12 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 49 | 23 | 51 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 27 | 27 | 52 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | b 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 36 | 20 | 72 | No |
| Total | 9 | 36 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Test Participation | % of Students Teste | d | | Rating | | Students Tested | Total Students | |
| Reading | 96.3% | | 95% Parti | cipation Rate Met | | 103 | 107 | |
| Mathematics | 98.1% | | | cipation Rate Met | | 105 | 107 | |
| Writing | 98.1% | | 95% Parti | cipation Rate Met | | 105 | 107 | |
| Science | 100.0% | | | cipation Rate Met | | 55 | 55 | |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | Leve | l: High Schoo |
|---|----------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIC | R HIGH SCHOO |) DL | | | | | | (3 Year*** |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 146 | 71.9% | 49 | |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 147 | 33.3% | 57 | |
| Writing | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 146 | 52.0% | 54 | |
| Science | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 73 | 71.2% | 89 | |
| Total | 11 | 16 | 68.8% | Meets | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 134 | 50 | 14 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 134 | 49 | 86 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 134 | 41 | 42 | No |
| Fotal | 7 | 12 | 58.3% | Approaching | | | | |
| | | | | | Cubaroun | Cubarous Modian | Cubanous Madian Adamsta | Made |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | Subgroup N | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | 1370 | Meets | 68 | 48 | 19 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 31 | 53 | 52 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | | - |
| | 0 | 0 | | - | | | - | |
| English Language Learners Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | - Meets | N<20 47 | 60 | - 68 | No |
| - · · | | | 50% | | 47 | | 00 | INO |
| Mathematics | 6 | 12 | 50% | Approaching | 60 | 40 | 00 | Ne |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 4 | | Approaching | 68 31 | 49 | <u>88</u> 98 | No |
| Minority Students | | | | Approaching | | 46 | | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Language Learners | 2 | | | - | N<20 75 | | - 99 | - |
| Students needing to catch up | | 4 | 44 70/ | Approaching | 75 | 50 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 5 | 12 | 41.7% | Approaching | | | | · · · · |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 68 | 41 | 54 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 31 | 37 | 87 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up Total | 2 20 | 4 36 | 55.6% | Approaching Approaching | 61 | 52 | 92 | No |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation | |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 89/66/ 45 /18 | 88.8/86.4/ 88.9 /83.3% | 80% | |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 357 | 1.1% | At/below State average | |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 69 | 20.6 | At/above State average | |
| Total | 9 | 12 | 75.0% | Meets | | | , | |
| Test Participation 9 | 6 of Students Tested | 1 | | Rating | | Students Tested | Total Students | |
| Reading | 99.3% | | 95% Partic | cipation Rate Met | | 148 | 149 | |
| Mathematics | 100.0% | | | ipation Rate Met | | 150 | 150 | |
| Writing | 99.3% | | 95% Partic | ipation Rate Met | | 148 | 149 | |
| Science | 100.0% | | 95% Partic | ipation Rate Met | | 75 | 75 | |
| Colorado ACT | 98.6% | | | ipation Rate Met | | 69 | 70 | |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

SPF Combined 2011 1400 - 4864 (3 Year***)

| oring Guide for <u>Per</u> | formance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo | rk Report | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| rformance Indicato | | | | | Rating | Point Value | Total Possible | Framework Po |
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a | idvanced was: | | | | | | |
| | at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20 | | baseline (3-year SPF). | | Exceeds | 4 | 16 | |
| Academic | | • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) | | | | | | |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile | | | | Approaching | 2 | content area) | |
| | • below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1- | · · · · · | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | , | |
| | If the school meets the median adequate student growth | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | as: | | - | | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | | Approaching | 2 | 12 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (4 for each | 35 |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate student | arowth percentile and its m | edian student arowth nerg | entile was: | Does Not Mice | ч <u>+</u> | content area) | 55 |
| Growth | • at or above 70. | growin percentile und its m | eululi student glowth pert | entile was. | Excoods | 1 | content area) | |
| | | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | • below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude | ent growth percentile and its | s student growth percentil | e was: | 1 | | | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | | Approaching | 2 | 60 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | 5 for each subgroup | D |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa | ate student growth percentil | e and its student growth p | ercentile was: | | | group in 3 content | 15 |
| | • at or above 70. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | areas) | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | • below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | above 80% but below 90%. | | | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | at or above 65% but below 80%. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | • below 65%. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | 10 | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | | I - · | 1 . | 12 | |
| Postsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| orkforce Readines | | | | | Meets | 3 | indicator) | |
| | at or below 10% but above the state average using 20 | 09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 | baseline (3-year SPF). | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or above 10%. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co | olorado ACT composite scor | e was: | | | | | |
| | • at or above 22. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 | 0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 ba | iseline (3-year SPF). | | Meets | 3 | | |
| | • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 | 0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 ba | seline (3-year SPF). | | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or below 17. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| t Points for each n | erformance indicator | | Cut-Points for plan | who assignment | | | | |
| | It Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this | Indicator | cut-rollits for plant | Cut Point: The school ea | rnod of the | otal Framow | ork points aligible | |
| | · · · · | | | | ined of the | lotal Framew | | Dorformonco |
| · · - | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | Table and a | • at or above 60% | 1 | | | Performance |
| · · - | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | • at or above 47% - be | | | | Improvement |
| | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | • at or above 33% - be | elow 47% | | Prio | rity Improveme |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 33% | | | | Turnaround |
| nool plan type assi | | | | | | | | |
| | Plan description | | | | | | | |
| rformance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Per | rformance Plan. | A school may not implem | ent a Priority Improveme | ent and/or Turr | around Plan | for longer than a co | mbined total o |
| provement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement an In | nprovement Plan. | five consecutive years be | fore the District or Institu | ute is required t | o restructure | or close the school | . The five |
| | t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Price | · | consecutive school years | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

| Percent of Stude | Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------|------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | |
|-----------------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 |

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2007 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| Anticipated Year | 2008 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 92.6 | |
| of Graduation | 2009 | 85.7 | 85.7 | | |
| | 2010 | <i>9</i> 5.7 | | | |

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2007 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| Anticipated | 2008 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 92.6 | |
| Year of | 2009 | 85.7 | 85.7 | | |
| Graduation | 2010 | 95.7 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 88.8 | 86.4 | 88.9 | 83.3 |



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | N of Students | Average Dropout Rate |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | N of Students | Average Score |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.