School Performance Framework 2011

District: LA VETA RE-2 - 1400 (3 Year***)

Level: All Levels

School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 4864

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then
matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Improvement Plan

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	ts Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(14.6 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	40.3%	(6.0 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met		
TOTAL		56.3%	(56.3 out of 100 points)
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind impacted.	licator due to insufficient counts of student	is. In these cases,	, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicate	ors						Level:	Middle Schoo
School: LA VETA JUN	NOR-SENIOR HI	GH SCHOOL						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	100	66.0%	37	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	102	47.1%	41	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	102	52.0%	34	
Science	3	4		Meets	53	54.7%	63	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	25	26	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	94	24	64	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	97	21	43	No
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	26	30	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	35	37	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	b 1	4		Does Not Meet	29	32	50	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	24	68	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	36	68	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	b 1	4		Does Not Meet	38	29	82	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	23	51	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	27	52	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	b 1	4		Does Not Meet	36	20	72	No
Total	9	36	25%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	96.3%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		103	107	
Mathematics	98.1%			cipation Rate Met		105	107	
Writing	98.1%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		105	107	
Science	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		55	55	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: High Schoo
School: LA VETA JUNIOR-SENIC	R HIGH SCHOO) DL						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	146	71.9%	49	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	147	33.3%	57	
Writing	3	4		Meets	146	52.0%	54	
Science	3	4		Meets	73	71.2%	89	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	134	50	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	134	49	86	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	134	41	42	No
Fotal	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Cubaroun	Cubarous Modian	Cubanous Madian Adamsta	Made
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	1370	Meets	68	48	19	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	53	52	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
	0	0		-			-	
English Language Learners Students needing to catch up	3	4		- Meets	N<20 47	60	- 68	No
- · ·			50%		47		00	INO
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching	60	40	00	Ne
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4 4		Approaching	68 31	49	<u>88</u> 98	No
Minority Students				Approaching		46		No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	2			-	N<20 75		- 99	-
Students needing to catch up		4	44 70/	Approaching	75	50	99	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				· · · ·
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	68	41	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	37	87	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up Total	2 20	4 36	55.6%	Approaching Approaching	61	52	92	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	89/66/ 45 /18	88.8/86.4/ 88.9 /83.3%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	357	1.1%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	3	4		Meets	69	20.6	At/above State average	
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets			,	
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%		95% Partic	cipation Rate Met		148	149	
Mathematics	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		150	150	
Writing	99.3%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		148	149	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		75	75	
Colorado ACT	98.6%			ipation Rate Met		69	70	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

SPF Combined 2011 1400 - 4864 (3 Year***)

oring Guide for <u>Per</u>	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Report						
rformance Indicato					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a	idvanced was:						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20		baseline (3-year SPF).		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic		• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)						
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile				Approaching	2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-	· · · · ·			Does Not Mee	t 1	,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	as:		-		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	arowth percentile and its m	edian student arowth nerg	entile was:	Does Not Mice	ч <u>+</u>	content area)	55
Growth	• at or above 70.	growin percentile und its m	eululi student glowth pert	entile was.	Excoods	1	content area)	
					Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its	s student growth percentil	e was:	1			
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	5 for each subgroup	D
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ate student growth percentil	e and its student growth p	ercentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:							
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3		
	 at or above 65% but below 80%. 				Approaching	2		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1	10	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				I - ·	1 .	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines					Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average using 20	09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09	baseline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite scor	e was:					
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 ba	iseline (3-year SPF).		Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 ba	seline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
t Points for each n	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	who assignment				
	It Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator	cut-rollits for plant	Cut Point: The school ea	rnod of the	otal Framow	ork points aligible	
	· · · ·				ined of the	lotal Framew		Dorformonco
· · -	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Table and a	• at or above 60%	1			Performance
· · -	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be	elow 47%		Prio	rity Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
nool plan type assi								
	Plan description							
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improveme	ent and/or Turr	around Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total o
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an In	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	fore the District or Institu	ute is required t	o restructure	or close the school	. The five
	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	·	consecutive school years		•			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	77.8	77.8	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	
of Graduation	2009	85.7	85.7		
	2010	<i>9</i> 5.7			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	77.8	77.8	83.3	83.3
Anticipated	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	
Year of	2009	85.7	85.7		
Graduation	2010	95.7			
	Aggregated	88.8	86.4	88.9	83.3



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.