School: CRESTED BUTTE COMMUNITY SCHOOL - 2006

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	85.4%	(12.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	86.1%	(30.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	91.7%	(13.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		85.9%	(85.9 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.



District: GUNNISON WATERSHED RE1J - 1360 (1 Year***)

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rc						Lovely Ele	ementary Scho
		TV COLLOOL					Levei: Ele	
School: CRESTED BUT								(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	135	88.9%	89	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	138	84.8%	81	
Writing	3	4		Meets	138	76.8%	89	
Science	3	4		Meets	35	71.4%	85	
otal	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	83	44	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4	1	Meets	85	50	30	Yes
Writing	3	4	1	Meets	85	56	27	Yes
otal	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	0	0	% POIIILS	Kuting		rercentile	Growth Percentile	Growins
eading			70	-	N :20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	0/	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Vriting	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	29	64	51	Yes
otal	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
est Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1	Ro	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		140	141	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		141	141	
Writing	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		141	141	
Science	100.0%		95% Particip	oation Rate Met		37	37	

-								
Performance Indicato							Leve	l: Middle Scho
School: CRESTED BUT	TE COMMUNI							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	105	86.7%	86	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	105	69.5%	80	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	105	84.8%	96	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	29	75.9%	90	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	104	60	22	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	104	65	62	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	104	68	41	Yes
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
			-4- 4 .		Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
leading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	_	-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	35	65	83	No
Vriting	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0	'	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4	'	Exceeds	30	84	71	Yes
Total	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1	Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		108	108	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa			108	108	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		108	108	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		29	29	

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: CRESTED BUTTE COMN	MUNITY SCHOO	L						(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(= : 5:::
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	70	92.9%	95	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	70	72.9%	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	70	75.7%	94	
Science	3	4		Meets	26	69.2%	85	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	67	66	8	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	67	71	59	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	67	57	14	Yes
- Fotal	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	=	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	, , ,	-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20	=	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	=	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	_	_	-
Total	0	0	%	-	11.20			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	24/23/25/ 16	79.2/87/96/ 100 %	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	132	2.3%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	3	4		Meets	27	21.4	At/above State average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1	Rat	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met	t	71	71	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met	t	71	71	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa			71	71	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met	t	27	27	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met	t	27	27	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

aufaumannaa lustit	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Darting	Doint Value	Total Bossils!s	Francouselle Daller
erformance Indicat	·	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Fysoods	1 4	16	
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF). • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	3	16	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	2	(4 for each	15
Achievement			-	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
			<u> </u>		
	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	25
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		1 .	content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	Υ	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	o
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:		•	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		1		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	1	
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee			

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type as	ssignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ol may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading				Math Writing				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated Year	2008	95.8	96.0	96.0	
of Graduation	2009	87.0	87.0		
	2010	79.2			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated	2008	95.8	96.0	96.0	
Year of	2009	87.0	87.0		
Graduation	2010	79.2			
	Aggregated	89.7	93.8	97.6	100.0

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.