School Performance Framework 2011

School: GILPIN COUNTY UNDIVIDED HIGH SCHOOL - 1634

Level: All Levels

District: GILPIN COUNTY RE-1 - 1330 (1 Year***)

earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then	This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they							
performance indicator. The overall score is then								
•								
	matched to the scoring guide below to							

Performance Plan

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*
Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)
Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)
Approaching	54.2%	(8.1 out of 15 points)
Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)
95% Participation Rate Met		
	58.3%	(58.3 out of 100 points)
	Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching	Meets62.5%Approaching58.3%Approaching54.2%Approaching58.3%95% Participation Rate Met58.3%

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level	Middle Schoo
School: GILPIN COU		HIGH SCHOO)L					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	-
Reading	2	4		Approaching	69	59.4%	24	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	69	50.7%	47	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	69	55.1%	43	
Science	2	4		Approaching	22	36.4%	30	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	59	44	33	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	29	69	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	59	59	53	Yes
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	4	02.370	Approaching	20	53	61	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	b 3	4		Meets	20	61	63	No
Nathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Does Not Meet	21	18	79	No
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	- -	- · · ·	-
Students needing to catch up	o 1	4		Does Not Meet	27	22	95	No
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Meets	20	60	73	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	- -		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	b 3	4		Meets	29	59	78	No
Total	13	24	54.2%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.6%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		72	73	
Mathematics	98.6%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		72	73	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		73	73	
Science	95.6%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		22	23	

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: GILPIN COUNTY UNDIV	IDED HIGH SCI	HOOL						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	40	77.5%	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	39	43.6%	72	
Writing	3	4		Meets	40	55.0%	59	
Science	3	4		Meets	21	52.4%	55	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	39	48	11	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	38	51	71	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	39	47	35	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,,,	-	N<20	<u>-</u>	.	<u> </u>
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	_
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			_
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,,,	-	N<20	-	.	
Minority Students	0	0			N<20		-	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-	11.20			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	17/20/ 19 /35	76.5/85/ 89.5 /80%	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	108	3.7%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	20	17.7	At/above State average	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			, .	
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1	R	ating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.8%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		45	46	
Mathematics	100.0%			pation Rate Met		46	46	
Writing	100.0%			pation Rate Met		46	46	
Science	100.0%			pation Rate Met		26	26	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			pation Rate Met		20	20	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guid <u>e for Perf</u>	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				evel: All Lev
rformance Indicator		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
·	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kutiliy	Fornt Vulue	Totur Possible	FIGHTEWOIKFO
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year 		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year 	2	content area)	15	
Achievement			-		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	eq 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Even e de	4		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	_	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	-	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	_	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	5 for each subgrou	p
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	10
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	-	-	
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee		-	
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	Does Not Met	· q -		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	-	
	• above 80% but below 90%.		3	-	
		Meets		-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	_	-	
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	1 .	1	12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	-	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	et 1	-	
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:				
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
-Points for each ne	rformance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment		•		
		olearned of the	total Framew	vork points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 60%			vork points engible.	Performance
·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Total Framework • at or above 67.5%				
· · -					Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 339	- Delow 47%		Pric	rity Improveme
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33%				Turnaround
nool plan type assig					
	Plan description				
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Impro				
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. five consecutive years before the District or I				
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July				

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)																
	Reading			Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High				
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286				
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5				
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0				
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4				

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	75.0	80.0	80.0	80.0
Anticipated Year	2008	89.5	89.5	89.5	
of Graduation	2009	85.0	85.0		
	2010	76.5			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	75.0	80.0	80.0	80.0
Anticipated	2008	89.5	89.5	89.5	
Year of	2009	85.0	85.0		
Graduation	2010	76.5			
	Aggregated	80.4	83.8	83.3	80.0



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.