School Performance Framework 2011

Level: All Levels District: EDISON 54 JT - 1120 (1 Year***)

School: EDISON JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 2526

Performance Plan

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(15.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Fest Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		93.2%	(79.2 out of 85 points)	

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicate	ors						Leve	I: Middle Schoo
School: EDISON JUN	IOR-SENIOR HIG	GH SCHOOL						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	24	95.8%	98	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	24	79.2%	92	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	24	83.3%	94	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	24	53	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	24	51	33	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	24	60	30	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	o 0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u> </u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	o 0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	o 0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d	Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		24	24	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		24	24	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		24	24	
Science	100.0%			-		6	6	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators								vel: High Schoo
School: EDISON JUNIOR-SENIO	R HIGH SCHOO							(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(1100
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	·	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	/0	-	N<20		-	
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Writing	0	0	%	-	11 120	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20		-	<u>.</u>
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Total	0	0	%	-	11<20		-	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	0	0		N/A	-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	37	0.0%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	0	0		-	N<16	-	At/above State average	
Total	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1	Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		-			13	13	
Mathematics	100.0%		-			13	13	
Writing	100.0%		-			13	13	
Science	100.0%		-			6	6	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		-			12	12	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guide for Per	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				
rformance Indicato		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
joinnance maleato	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	nating	, one value	i otari ossibile	- rumenon r
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) 		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) 		2	content area)	15
, temerement	 below the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). 	-	content areay		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Does Not Mee	ч <u>т</u>		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 60 but at or above 45: below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	below 45 bit at of above 50. below 30.	Does Not Mee	-	(4 for each	35
		Does Not Mee	<u>ч</u> т	•	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Evenede	1 4	content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	1	1		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	e t 1	(5 for each subgrou	р
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:		-	12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	35
orkioice Reaumess	• at or below the state average but above 1% dsing 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).		2	mulcatory	
	• at or above 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	-		
		Does Not Mee	<u>ч</u> т		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		1 .		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
t-Points for each pe	erformance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school	earned of the	total Framev	ork points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 60%				Performance
· · -	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Total Framework • at or above 47% -	pelow 60%			Improvement
· · –	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 33% -				prity Improveme
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33%				Turnaround
nool plan type assig					lamaround
ioor plan type assig	Plan description				
formance Dian		nont and / T		for longer theme	mhinodtatal
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improved	-			
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. five consecutive years before the District or Inst Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 of the school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• • •				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16		
	2010	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Year of	2009	N<16	N<16		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.5	<i>95.7</i>	94.1	N<16



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.