School Performance Framework 2011

Level: High School

District: CALHAN RJ-1 - 0970 (3 Year***)

School: CALHAN HIGH SCHOOL - 1218

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt
and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based
on their overall framework score, which is a
percentage of the total points they earned out of
the total points eligible in each performance
indicator. The overall score is then matched to the
scoring guide below to determine the plan type.
Plan Assignment Framework Points Farned

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Acad
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	. .
Turnaround	below 33%	Post

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	83.3%	(12.5 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met		
TOTAL		81.2%	(81.2 out of 100 points)
 * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc impacted. 	licator due to insufficient numbers of stude	ents. In these case	es, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negativel

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: CALHAN HIGH SCHOOL	- 1218							(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	265	78.1%	71	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	266	27.1%	40	
Writing	3	4		Meets	265	61.5%	78	
Science	3	4		Meets	142	57.8%	66	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	245	64	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	250	50	93	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	246	73	40	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	81	68	36	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	25	69	12	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	68	92	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	65	69	77	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	82	50	99	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	27	71	86	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	51	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	159	50	99	No
Writing	16	16	100%	Exceeds	100			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	10070	Exceeds	81	74	78	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	25	82	35	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	23	73	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		LACEEUS	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	99	76	91	No
Total	40	48	83.3%	Meets	55	70	51	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	204/156/113/ 53		80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	703	1.0%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	125	19.2	At/above State average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%			95% Participat	on Rate Met	271	273	
Mathematics	99.6%			95% Participat	on Rate Met	272	273	
Writing	99.3%			95% Participat	ion Rate Met	271	273	
Science	100.0%			95% Participat	ion Rate Met	145	145	
Colorado ACT	97.7%			95% Participat	on Rate Met	125	128	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data. SPF Non-Combined 2011 0970 - 1218 - 3 Year

oring Guid <u>e for Perfo</u>	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Report						
rformance Indicator					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a	dvanced was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20		eline (3-vear SPF).		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile 	-10 baseline (3-vear SPF)		3	(4 for each	15		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	· · · · ·		2	content area)	_		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-		Does Not Mee	t 1	,			
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth			as:		<u> </u>		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	arowth perceptile and its medic	an student arowth nerg	entile was:	Does Not Mice	4 -	content area)	55
Growth	• at or above 70.	growth percentile and its mean	in student growth pere		Exceeds	4	content area	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
						2		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	t 1		
	• below 40.	and another another and its sta			Does Not Mee	ų 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its stu	ident growth percentil	e was:	Europede			
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	<u> </u>	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ite student growth percentile ai	nd its student growth p	ercentile was:	1	1	group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	-	
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				T	1		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		l
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 200	9 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 basel	line (3-year SPF).		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 20	09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 base	eline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score w	as:					
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseli	ine (3-year SPF).		Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseli	ine (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	· · ·	· · · ·		Does Not Mee	t 1		
Dointe for each no			Cut Deinte for plan t					1
	rformance indicator	Indicator	Cut-Points for plan t		wood of the t	otol Fromov	ouk nointe alisikle	
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this			Cut Point: The school ea	irnea or the t	Otal Framew		Deufeureere
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Table and	• at or above 60%	1. 60%			Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				mprovement
· · · ·	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be	210W 47%		Prior	rity Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
nool plan type assign								
	Plan description							
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan. A	school may not implem	ent a Priority Improvem	ent and/or Turr	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total o
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an In	nprovement Plan. fiv	e consecutive years be	fore the District or Instit	ute is required	to restructur	e or close the school	. The five

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness


This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	100.0	98.1	98.1	<i>98.1</i>
Anticipated Year	2008	90.0	91.7	91.7	
of Graduation	2009	88.6	93.0		
	2010	85.4			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	100.0	98.1	98.1	98.1
Anticipated	2008	90.0	91.7	91.7	
Year of	2009	88.6	93.0		
Graduation	2010	85.4			
	Aggregated	91.2	94.2	94.7	98.1

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.