School Performance Framework 2011

Level: High School

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year***)

School: DOUGLAS COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL - 2230

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt						
and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based						
on their overall framework score, which is a						
percentage of the total points they earned out of						
the total points eligible in each performance						
indicator. The overall score is then matched to the						
scoring guide below to determine the plan type.						
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned						

Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.7%	(7.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		70.8%	(70.8 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc impacted.	dicator due to insufficient numbers of stude	ents. In these case	es, the points are removed from both the poi	ints earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: DOUGLAS COUNTY HIG	GH SCHOOL - 22	230						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	893	76.7%	64	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	885	43.0%	72	
Writing	3	4		Meets	895	63.0%	79	
Science	3	4		Meets	422	55.9%	61	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	846	54	11	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	839	34	61	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	848	55	33	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	e % Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	86	42	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	204	55	14	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	46	86	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	27	61	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	161	50	81	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet	101			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	2370	Does Not Meet	85	27	96	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	203	36	73	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	22	99	No
	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	24	99	No
English Language Learners Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	305	24	99	No
					505	20	55	NO
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching		20		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	87	39	78	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	205	57	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	43	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	45	99	No
Students needing to catch up Total	3 28	60	46.7%	Meets Approaching	297	55	88	No
						Data (Casua	Minimum State Expectation	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Graduation Rate: 4vr/5vr/6vr/7vr	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score 88.3/90.4/89.7/89.8%	Minimum State Expectation	
		4		Exceeds	366/ 480 /613/579		80%	
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	2,239	0.8%	At/below State average	-
Total	3 11	4	91.7%	Meets Exceeds	380	21.4	At/above State average	
			51.770			Churdente Tested	Tabal Chudanta	
	% of Students Tested			Rating	Dele Mai	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	96.4%			95% Participation		925	959	
Mathematics	95.4%			95% Participation		915	959	
Writing	96.7%			95% Participation		927	959	
Science	93.6%				5% Participation Rate	436	466	
Colorado ACT	97.7%			95% Participation	Rate Met	380	389	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guide							Lev	el: High Sch
	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Report						
formance Indicator					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a				I	1		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20				Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	<u> </u>		· · · · ·		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		2	content area)			
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1- 	year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline	(3-year SPF).		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth p	percentile and its median stude	nt growth percentile w	as:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growth percentile and its medi	an student growth perc	entile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	nt growth percentile and its stu	udent growth percentile	e was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	te student arowth percentile a	nd its student arowth p	ercentile was:			group in 3 content	15
0.0111. 00p0	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	10
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	0.000	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		l .		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Does Not Mice	4 -		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3		
	 above 80% but below 90%. at or above 65% but below 80%. 					2		
	• below 65%.				Approaching			l
					Does Not Mee	t 1	10	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				E	1 4	12	25
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		·· (2 0.05)		Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 200				Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 20	09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 bas	eline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score w	as:		T	1		
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010				Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010) (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 basel	ine (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	vpe assignment				
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	otal Framew	ork points eligible.	
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
·	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%			mprovement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be				rity Improvement
· · · · ·	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	- I Olito	• below 33%				Turnaround
		DUES NUT MEET		- DEIOW 33%				ramaround
ool plan type assig								
	Plan description						• •	
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per		, ,	ent a Priority Improvem	-			
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	provement Plan. fiv	e consecutive vears be	fore the District or Instit	ute is required	to restructur	e or close the school	. The five
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	·		commences on July 1 du	•			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading		Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness


This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	87.3	88.8	89.5	89.8
Anticipated Year	2008	85.5	89.3	89.7	
of Graduation	2009	88.2	90.4		
	2010	88.3			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	87.3	88.8	89.5	89.8
Anticipated	2008	85.5	89.3	89.7	
Year of	2009	88.2	90.4		
Graduation	2010	88.3			
	Aggregated	87.1	89.4	89.6	89.8

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.