This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned
Performance $\quad$ at or above $60 \%$
Improvement at or above $47 \%$ - below $60 \%$
Priority Improvement at or above $33 \%$ - below $47 \%$

Priority Improvement at or above 33\% - below 47\%
Turnaround below 33\%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

${ }^{* *}$ Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school
makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

## What do the performance indicators measure?

## Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | N | \% Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 973 | 76.8\% | 64 |  |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 976 | 45.8\% | 77 |  |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 974 | 62.5\% | 79 |  |
| Science | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 483 | 57.1\% | 63 |  |
| Total | 12 | 16 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 920 | 46 | 14 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 923 | 49 | 66 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 921 | 50 | 33 | Yes |
| Total | 8 | 12 | 66.7\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 13 | 20 | 65\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 85 | 47 | 34 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 197 | 46 | 23 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 72 | 48 | 95 | No |
| English Language Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 21 | 55 | 51 | Yes |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 179 | 46 | 80 | No |
| Mathematics | 9 | 20 | 45\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 86 | 49 | 94 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 197 | 49 | 84 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 72 | 52 | 99 | No |
| English Language Learners | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 21 | 37 | 96 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 384 | 49 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 12 | 20 | 60\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 85 | 42 | 61 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 198 | 51 | 46 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 72 | 43 | 98 | No |
| English Language Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 21 | 55 | 83 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 310 | 50 | 86 | No |
| Total | 34 | 60 | 56.7\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation |  |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 4 | 4 |  | Exceeds | 600/545/513/490 | 91/92.7/93.6/91.4\% | 80\% |  |
| Dropout Rate | 4 | 4 |  | Exceeds | 2,642 | 0.3\% | At/below State average |  |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 452 | 21.3 | At/above State average |  |
| Total | 11 | 12 | 91.7\% | Exceeds |  |  |  |  |
| Test Participation | \% of Students Tested |  |  | Rating |  | Students Tested | Total Students |  |
| Reading | 98.8\% |  |  | 95\% Participatio | on Rate Met | 1000 | 1012 |  |
| Mathematics | 99.2\% |  |  | 95\% Participatio | on Rate Met | 1005 | 1013 |  |
| Writing | 98.9\% |  |  | 95\% Participatio | on Rate Met | 1001 | 1012 |  |
| Science | 99.2\% |  |  | 95\% Participatio | on Rate Met | 498 | 502 |  |
| Colorado ACT | 98.9\% |  |  | 95\% Participatio | on Rate Met | 452 | 457 |  |


| Performance IndicatorScoring Guide |  |  |  |  |  | Rating | Point Value |  | Framework Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Achievement | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline ( 3 -year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 | 16 <br> (4 for each content area) |  |
|  | - below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  | 15 |
|  | - below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Academic Growth | If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> 12 <br> (4 for each <br> content area) |  |
|  | - at or above 60. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 60 but at or above 45. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 45 but at or above 30 . |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 30. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  | 35 |
|  | If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 70. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 70 but at or above 55. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 55 but at or above 40 . |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 40. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 60. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 60 but at or above 45. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 45 but at or above 30 . |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 | 60 |  |
|  | - below 30. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 | 5 for each subgroup |  |
|  | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |  |  |  |  |  |  | group in 3 content | 15 |
|  | - at or above 70. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - below 70 but at or above 55. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - below 55 but at or above 40. |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 40. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (4 for each sub- } \\ \text { indicator) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | - at or above 90\%. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - above $80 \%$ but below $90 \%$. |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - at or above $65 \%$ but below $80 \%$. |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - below 65\%. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
|  | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or below $1 \%$. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  | 35 |
|  | - at or below the state average but above 1\% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - at or below $10 \%$ but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  | - at or above 10\%. |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
|  | Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - at or above 22. |  |  |  |  | Exceeds | 4 |  |  |
|  | - at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Meets | 3 |  |  |
|  | - at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). |  |  |  |  | Approaching | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Does Not Meet | 1 |  |  |
| Cut-Points for each performance indicator ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {at-Points for plan type assignment }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Achievement; Growth; Gaps; Postsecondary | - at or above $87.5 \%$ |  | Exceeds | Total Framework Points | - at or above 60\% |  |  | Performance |  |
|  | - at or above $62.5 \%$ - below $87.5 \%$ |  | Meets |  | - at or above 47\% - be | low 60\% |  | Improvement |  |
|  | - at or above 37.5\% - below $62.5 \%$ |  | Approaching |  | - at or above $33 \%$ - be | low 47\% |  | Priority Improvement |  |
|  | - below 37.5\% |  | Does Not Meet |  | - below $33 \%$ |  |  |  | Turnaround |
| School plan type assignments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plan description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. |  |  | A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improvement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority Improvement Plan T |  | The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. |  | five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnaround Plan |  | The school is required to adop | nd Plan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

