School: ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0011

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year\*\*\*)

## **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 59%
at or above 47% - below 59%
at or above 37% - below 47%
below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*								
Academic Achievement	Meets	78.1%	( 19.5 out of 25 points )							
Academic Growth	Meets	79.2%	( 39.6 out of 50 points )							
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	84.1%	( 21.0 out of 25 points )							
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met									
TOTAL		80.1%	( 80.1 out of 100 points )							

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rs						Level: El	ementary Scho
School: ACADEMY CH	ARTER SCHOO	L						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	195	86.7%	85	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	195	84.6%	81	
Writing	3	4		Meets	195	71.8%	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	66	71.2%	84	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	127	53	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	127	56	31	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	127	57	30	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	26	46	20	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	21	68	63	Yes
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	26	53	38	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	26	68	29	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	42	62	54	Yes
Total	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested		Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participat	tion Rate Met		197	197	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participat	tion Rate Met		197	197	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participat	tion Rate Met		197	197	
Science	100.0%		95% Participat	tion Rate Met		66	66	

-								
Performance Indicato							Leve	l: Middle Scho
School: ACADEMY CH								(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	173	85.0%	82	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	173	80.9%	93	
Writing	3	4		Meets	173	75.7%	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	44	68.2%	81	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	169	56	21	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	169	69	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	169	58	41	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Landomia Crowth Cana	Dainte Farnad	Dointe Fliaible	0/ Doints	Datina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>		<del>-</del>
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	54	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		- 11	N<20	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	1000/	Approaching	24	54	71	No
Mathematics	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	31	74	69	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	29	76	92	No
Vriting	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	59	49	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	50	60	69	No
<u> </u>	19	24	79.2%	Meets				
est Participation %	6 of Students Testea	1	Rat	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		173	173	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		173	173	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		173	173	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		44	44	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scorina Guide Ratina Point Value Total Possible Framework Points The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 25 2 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Approachin content area) below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). oes Not Mee 1 if the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. 3 Meets 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 12 Approachin Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 50 f the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: Growth content area) • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds 3 below 70 but at or above 55. Meets below 55 but at or above 40. Approachin 2 oes Not Mee 1 below 40. if the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 3 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets below 45 but at or above 30. Approaching 2 60 Academic below 30. oes Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: **Growth Gaps** group in 3 content 25 • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds areas) 3 • below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 2 below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching • below 40. oes Not Mee 1 Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible. Achievement: • at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 59% **Growth**; Gaps • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% **Total Framework** • at or above 47% - below 59% Improvement • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 37% - below 47% **Priority Improvement** Approaching **Points** • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet** • below 37% School plan type assignments Plan description

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

# **Comparison Data**

## **Academic Achievement**


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.