School: PITT-WALLER K-8 SCHOOL - 6970 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	(9.4 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	54.2%	(27.1 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.8%	(14.0 out of 25 points)
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met		
TOTAL		50.5%	(50.5 out of 100 points) students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Level: All Levels

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rs						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: PITT-WALLER	K-8 SCHOOL							(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(0.1001
Reading	1	4	72 7 0 11100	Does Not Meet	904	45.5%	11	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	906	41.4%	8	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	907	34.4%	16	
Science	<u>-</u> 1	4		Does Not Meet	296	17.9%	12	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet	130	171077		
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	566	42	46	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	575	39	66	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	569	41	54	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	334	41	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	487	42	47	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	31	82	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	176	46	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	306	42	66	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	340	37	69	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	497	39	68	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	22	93	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	184	42	67	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	327	39	80	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	336	40	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	491	41	55	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	28	84	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	177	41	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	405	43	64	No
Total	24	60	40%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	% of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%			cipation Rate Met		960	964	
Mathematics	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		965	965	
Writing	99.8%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met	,	965	967	
Science	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met	,	317	317	

Performance Indicato							Level	Middle Scho
School: PITT-WALLER	K-8 SCHOOL							(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	752	53.2%	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	752	39.8%	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	749	39.1%	17	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	211	17.1%	8	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	70 1 0111103	Meets	749	54	46	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	748	60	82	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	745	54	68	No
Fotal	8	12	66.7%	Meets	, .5	<u> </u>		110
			001770					
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Cademic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
eading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	444	51	51	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	669	55	47	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	69	58	83	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	270	55	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	369	58	66	No
Nathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	443	62	85	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	668	62	84	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	71	56	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	269	62	85	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	445	59	96	No
Vriting	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	442	54	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	665	55	68	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	68	58	94	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	267	53	73	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	447	59	82	No
otal	43	60	71.7%	Meets				
est Participation %	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%		95% Partio	cipation Rate Met		769	772	
Mathematics	99.7%		95% Partio	cipation Rate Met		770	772	
Writing	99.5%		95% Partio	cipation Rate Met		768	772	
Science	99.1%	-	95% Partio	cipation Rate Met		215	217	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scorina Guide Ratina Point Value Total Possible Framework Points The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 25 2 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Approachin content area) below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). oes Not Mee 1 if the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. 3 Meets 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 12 Approachin Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 50 f the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: Growth content area) • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds 3 below 70 but at or above 55. Meets below 55 but at or above 40. Approachin 2 oes Not Mee 1 below 40. if the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 3 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets below 45 but at or above 30. Approaching 2 60 Academic below 30. oes Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: **Growth Gaps** group in 3 content 25 • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds areas) Meets 3 • below 70 but at or above 55. 2 below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching • below 40. oes Not Mee 1 Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible. Achievement: • at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 59% **Growth**; Gaps • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% **Total Framework** • at or above 47% - below 59% Improvement • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 37% - below 47% **Priority Improvement** Approaching **Points** • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet** • below 37% School plan type assignments Plan description

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.