School Performance Framework 2011

Level: All Levels

School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHOOL - 6350 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 60%
at or above 47% - below 60%
at or above 33% - below 47%
below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Approaching	54.2%	(19.0 out of 35 points)	_
Approaching	51.9%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	_
95% Participation Rate Met			_
	53.9%	(53.9 out of 100 points)	
	Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Meets 95% Participation Rate Met	Does Not Meet 25.0% Approaching 54.2% Approaching 51.9% Meets 66.7% 95% Participation Rate Met 53.9%	Does Not Meet 25.0% (3.8 out of 15 points) Approaching 54.2% (19.0 out of 35 points) Approaching 51.9% (7.8 out of 15 points) Meets 66.7% (23.3 out of 35 points) 95% Participation Rate Met

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to the of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate average Colorado ACT composite scores.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	ors						l evel·	Middle Schoo
School: BRUCE RAND							LC VCI.	(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(= 1001
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	425	28.5%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	427	23.0%	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	426	17.6%	1	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	155	5.8%	1	
Гotal	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Acadomic Crowth	Doints Farmed	Dointe Flisible	9/ Doints	Datina	N	Madian Crowth Borcontile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	406	43	69	No No
Mathematics	2 1	4		Approaching Door Not Moot	411 407	46 36	88 81	No No
Writing	5		44 70/	Does Not Meet	407	30	81	INO
Total	3	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	393	43	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	399	42	69	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	32	93	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	280	44	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	265	43	78	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	398	46	88	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	404	46	89	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	34	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	284	46	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	287	50	96	No
Writing	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	394	36	81	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	400	36	81	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	24	95	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	279	36	81	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	315	37	85	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.9%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		456	461	
Mathematics	99.6%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		459	461	
Writing	99.1%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		457	461	
Science	97.7%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		169	173	

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: High Schoo
School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCH	IOOL							(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	37.4%	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	9.6%	6	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	13.6%	3	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	108	13.0%	3	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	231	57	82	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	231	61	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	231	52	96	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	218	58	83	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	230	57	82	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	<u> </u>
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	170	59	86	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-	Meets	158	60	94	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	7370	Meets	218	61	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	230	61	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	170	62	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	202	63	99	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching	202			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30.370		218	52	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching Approaching	230	52	96	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	N<20		-	- 110
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	170	 56	97	No No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	202	51	98	No
Total	33	48	68.8%	Meets	202	31	56	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	85/-/-/-	85.9/-/-/%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	511	0.8%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	15.7	At/above State average	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets	33	23.7	Auguste State average	
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		254	255	
Mathematics	99.6%			cipation Rate Met		254	255	
Writing	99.6%			cipation Rate Met		254	255	
Science	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		113	113	
Colorado ACT	97.9%			cipation Rate Met		95	97	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report			=	
Performance Indicator	· ·	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:		1 .		
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		T .		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
·	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:		•		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:		1 -	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	
vorkioite neddiness	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	marcatory	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	Does Not Meet	4 +		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above 22. • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		
School plan type as	ssignments						
	Plan description						
Performance Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combin					an a combined total of		

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16		
	2010	85.9			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Year of	2009	N<16	N<16		
Graduation	2010	85.9			
	Aggregated	<i>85.9</i>	N<16	N<16	N<16

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.