Level: All Levels

School: HOWELL K-8 SCHOOL - 4140 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

Per	. .				
ומט	TOP	mar	160	_	on.
	101	ıııaı	ILE		ан

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	28.1%	(7.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	70.8%	(35.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		59.1%	(59.1 out of 100 points)	and from both the points owned and the points divible as assessed

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

N 578 580 581 183 N 355 358 353 Subgroup N	% Proficient/Advanced 27.2% 31.7% 16.2% 10.9% Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	School's Percentile 0 2 0 6 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72	Made Adequate Growth? No No No Made Adequate Growth?
578 580 581 183 N 355 358 353 Subgroup	27.2% 31.7% 16.2% 10.9% Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	0 2 0 6 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate Growth? No No No No
578 580 581 183 N 355 358 353 Subgroup	27.2% 31.7% 16.2% 10.9% Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	0 2 0 6 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	No No No Made Adequate
580 581 183 N 355 358 353	27.2% 31.7% 16.2% 10.9% Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	0 2 0 6 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	Growth? No No No Mo Made Adequa
581 183 N 355 358 353 Subgroup	16.2% 10.9% Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	0 6 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	Growth? No No No Mo Made Adequa
N 355 358 353 Subgroup	Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	6 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	Growth? No No No Mo Made Adequa
N 355 358 353 Subgroup	Median Growth Percentile 51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth Percentile 67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	No No No No
355 358 353 Subgroup	51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	Growth? No No No Mo Made Adequa
355 358 353 Subgroup	51 56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	67 76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	Growth? No No No Mo Made Adequa
358 353 Subgroup	56 49 Subgroup Median Growth	76 72 Subgroup Median Adequate	No No Made Adequat
353 Subgroup	49 Subgroup Median Growth	72 Subgroup Median Adequate	No Made Adequa
Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequa
	reitentile	Growth Fercenthe	Growth:
214	Г1	60	No
			No No
			No No
			No
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No
208	32		INO
210	E7	76	No
			No No
			No
			No
			No
231			140
314	49	72	No
			No
300			.,,,
	Students Tested	Total Students	
	585	588	
	586	588	
	185	186	
	314 346 48 207 268 319 350 47 212 251 314 345 47 206 308	346 51 48 29 207 51 268 52 319 57 350 56 47 29 212 59 251 55 314 49 345 49 47 28 206 51 308 48 Students Tested 582 585 586	346 51 67 48 29 90 207 51 67 268 52 75 319 57 76 350 56 76 47 29 95 212 59 76 251 55 85 314 49 72 47 28 89 206 51 71 308 48 76 Students Tested Total Students 582 587 585 588 586 588

Performance Indicato	rs						Level:	Middle Schoo
School: HOWELL K-8 S								(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(5 . ca.
Reading	1	4	701 011110	Does Not Meet	768	38.0%	6	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	768	34.4%	18	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	767	28.6%	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	252	21.4%	10	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet	232	21.170	10	
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	727 011100	Meets	749	60	63	No
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	748	71	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	736	63	81	No
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
		5	~ 5	- ··	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	• •	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	674	61	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	741	60	63	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	83	59	91	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	479	59	66	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	485	62	75	No
Mathematics	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	673	71	91	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	740	71	90	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	83	67	99	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	479	73	90	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	516	72	97	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	662	63	81	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	728	63	81	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	81	66	95	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	470	62	82	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	530	66	88	No
Total	49	60	81.7%	Meets				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		776	777	
Mathematics	99.9%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		776	777	
Writing	99.7%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		775	777	
Science	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		253	253	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scorina Guide Ratina Point Value Total Possible Framework Points The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 25 2 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Approachin content area) below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). oes Not Mee 1 if the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. 3 Meets 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 12 Approachin **Academic** • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 50 f the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: Growth content area) • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds 3 below 70 but at or above 55. Meets below 55 but at or above 40. Approachin 2 oes Not Mee 1 below 40. if the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 3 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets below 45 but at or above 30. Approaching 2 60 Academic below 30. oes Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: **Growth Gaps** group in 3 content 25 • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds areas) 3 • below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 2 below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching • below 40. oes Not Mee 1 Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible. Achievement: • at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 59% **Growth**; Gaps • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% **Total Framework** • at or above 47% - below 59% Improvement • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 37% - below 47% **Priority Improvement** Approaching **Points** • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet** • below 37% School plan type assignments Plan description Performance Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.