School Performance Framework 2011

School: FLORENCE CRITTENTON HIGH SCHOOL - 3000

AEC: Turnaround Plan (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type assignment. The results presented in this traditional SPF report are for informational purposes only to show their performance against traditional measures. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan AssignmentFramework Points EarnedPerformanceat or above 60%Improvementat or above 47% - below 60%Priority Improvementat or above 33% - below 47%Turnaroundbelow 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)
Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		25.2%	(12.6 out of 50 points)

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Level: High School

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: FLORENCE CRITTENTO	N HIGH SCHOO	L - 3000						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	42.6%	6	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	2.2%	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	14.9%	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	17.4%	6	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	, .	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	-		-
Writing	0	0	%	-			-	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20	-		
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	-		-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	77 /63/84/97	2.6 /0/1.2/0%	80%	
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	460	15.2%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	14.9	At/above State average	
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	94.7%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	71	75	
Mathematics	93.3%			Does Not Meet 95%	% Participation Rate	70	75	
Writing	94.7%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	71	75	
Science	96.8%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	30	31	
Colorado ACT	93.6%			Does Not Meet 95%	% Participation Rate	29	31	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guid <u>e for Perf</u>	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Report							
rformance Indicato					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po	
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a	advanced was:			-				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20		eline (3-year SPF).		Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile bu	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	1	3	(4 for each	15			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile			2	content area)				
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1		Does Not Mee	t 1	,				
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth			as:					
	• at or above 60.		<u> </u>		Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.								
Academic	• below 30.				Approaching Does Not Mee	2 t 1	12 (4 for each		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	arowth percentile and its medi	ian student arowth perc	entile was:			content area)		
	• at or above 70.		an stadent gromtnipere		Exceeds	4	content area,		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	 below 55 but at of above 40. below 40. 				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent arowth percentile and its st	udent arowth percentil	p was.	Does NOT MEE	ч <u>т</u>			
	• at or above 60.	ent growth percentile and its st	udent growth percentile	wus.	Exceeds	4			
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3			
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 					2	CO		
Academic	 below 45 but at of above 50. below 30. 				Approaching		60 C for each subgroup		
		to student arouth perceptile a	and its student arouth n	orcontilouras	Does Not Mee	<u> </u>	5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequa	ne student growth percentile d	ina its student growth p	ercentile was:	Europeda		group in 3 content		
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:					_			
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	_		
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2			
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				ř.		12		
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% using 200	9 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 base	eline (3-year SPF).		Meets	3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the state average using 20	09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 bas	seline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2			
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite score w	vas:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 201	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 201	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2			
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
Points for each po	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	una assignment	•	•			
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator		Cut Point: The school ea	urned of the	total Framour	ork points aligible		
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	inted of the	lotarramew		Performance	
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%				
								Improvement	
· · ·	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be	210W 47%		Prio	rity Improvem	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround	
ool plan type assig									
	Plan description	1							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per		school may not implem	ent a Priority Improvem	ent and/or Tur	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total	
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an In		ve consecutive years be	fore the District or Instit	ute is required	to restructure	e or close the school	. The five	
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri-							in which the	

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness


This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• •				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anticipated Year	2008	0.0	0.0	1.2	
of Graduation	2009	0.0	0.0		
	2010	2.6			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Anticipated	2008	0.0	0.0	1.2	
Year of	2009	0.0	0.0		
Graduation	2010	2.6			
	Aggregated	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.