School Performance Framework 2011

Level: All Levels

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

School: DENVER SCHOOL OF THE ARTS - 2184

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	(13.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	67.5%	(10.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		84.5%	(84.5 out of 100 points)	
 Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind impacted. 	licator due to insufficient counts of student	s. In these cases,	the points are removed from both the points	earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicate	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: DENVER SCH	IOOL OF THE AF	RTS						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	479	91.4%	94	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	480	65.8%	75	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	480	83.3%	94	
Science	3	4		Meets	171	73.1%	87	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	450	51	13	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	452	36	50	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	447	50	27	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	66	45	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	168	53	21	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	35	60	30	Yes
Students needing to catch up	b 2	4		Approaching	46	48	61	No
Mathematics	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	66	31	66	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	168	33	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	28	64	No
Students needing to catch up	b 2	4		Approaching	109	52	89	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	66	45	39	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	168	44	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	35	54	39	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	52	66	No
Total	27	48	56.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		479	480	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		480	480	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		480	480	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		171	171	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: DENVER SCHOOL OF TI	HE ARTS				_			(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	290	95.9%	98	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	290	54.5%	89	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	290	84.5%	98	
Science	3	4		Meets	124	71.8%	88	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	275	70	4	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	275	56	60	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	275	67	14	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	39	72	17	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	109	69	7	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	39	68	98	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	109	60	72	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	101	59	99	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	001070	Meets	39	57	46	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	109	67	21	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	45	68	76	No
Total	27	32	84.4%	Meets	10			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	124 /118/136/129	96.8 /95.8/94.9/91.5%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	598	0.8%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	132	23.0	At/above State average	
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	I	Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Me	t	290	290	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Me	t	290	290	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Me	t	290	290	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Me	t	124	124	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Me	t	132	132	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Penert				Level: All Lev
	formance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Destina	Deint Value	Total Dessible	Francisco and Dec
rformance Indicato	-	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Evenede	1 4	10	
• · · · • · · · •	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	45
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		1 4	-	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Y	-1	content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	-	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	-	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	_	
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			_	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		p
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	(5 for each subgrou	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	et 1	1	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee		-	
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		-		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	-	
	 at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). 	Approaching	2	-	
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee		-	
		DOES NOT ME	: u 1		
	erformance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment				
			total Framev	vork points eligible.	
· · -	at or above 87.5% Exceeds at or above 609				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% OMeets Total Framework • at or above 475	60% - below			Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 339	6 - below 47%		Pric	ority Improveme
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet below 33%				Turnaround
hool plan type assig	gnments				
	Plan description				
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Impro	vement and/or Tur	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. five consecutive years before the District or	nstitute is required	to restructur	e or close the schoo	l. The five
ority Improvement	t Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July	1 during the summ	er immediate	ely following the fall	in which the
		nent a Priority Impr			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Reading Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	89.9	91.5	91.5	91.5
Anticipated Year	2008	94.9	94.9	94.9	
of Graduation	2009	94.9	95.8		
	2010	96.8			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	89.9	91.5	91.5	91.5
Anticipated	2008	94.9	94.9	94.9	
Year of	2009	94.9	95.8		
Graduation	2010	96.8			
	Aggregated	94.1	94.0	93.2	91.5



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.