School Performance Framework 2011

School: DENVER CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES - 2183

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

			813	
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	*
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	62.5%	(21.9 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		68.2%	(68.2 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc mpacted.	licator due to insufficient counts of student	ts. In these cases,	the points are removed from both the point	ts earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Level: All Levels

Performance Indicate	ors						Level:	Middle Schoo
School: DENVER CEN	ITER FOR INTER	NATIONAL ST	UDIES					(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	977	80.4%	75	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	978	58.4%	65	
Writing	3	4		Meets	977	68.7%	75	
Science	3	4		Meets	311	58.8%	70	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	953	44	19	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	954	36	55	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	949	46	40	Yes
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	354	38	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	547	41	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	33	46	39	Yes
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	230	39	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	155	45	66	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	354	33	66	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	548	35	63	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	33	87	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	230	36	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	307	41	86	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	353	42	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	546	43	50	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	33	60	74	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	229	49	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	250	52	75	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d	I	Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		980	984	
Mathematics	99.7%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		981	984	
Writing	99.6%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		980	984	
Science	99.7%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		312	313	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: DENVER CENTER FOR I	NTERNATIONA	L STUDIES						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	467	79.7%	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	468	31.0%	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	468	59.2%	71	
Science	3	4		Meets	206	51.0%	51	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	434	58	11	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	433	58	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	434	55	46	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	208	57	21	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	319	58	17	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	21	72	26	Yes
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	146	58	24	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	86	68	80	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	207	54	96	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	318	56	95	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	21	78	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	146	52	95	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	250	61	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	208	54	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	319	53	54	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	21	62	65	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	146	54	67	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	151	61	84	No
Total	42	60	70%	Meets	-			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	121/81/51/ 28	92.6/93.8/98/ 100 %	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	1,017	1.4%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	138	19.5	At/above State average	
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1		ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%			ation Rate Met		471	474	
Mathematics	99.6%		-	ation Rate Met		472	474	
Writing	99.6%		-	ation Rate Met		472	474	
Science	99.0%		-	ation Rate Met		208	210	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		95% Participa	ation Rate Met		138	138	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guid <u>e for Perf</u>	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				evel: All Lev
rformance Indicator		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kutiliy	Fornt Vulue	Totur Possible	FIGHTEWORKFO
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year 		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year 	2	content area)	15	
Acmevement			-		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	eq 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	Eveneda	4	-	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	_	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	-	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	_	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	et 1	5 for each subgrou	q
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	-	-	
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee		-	
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	Does Not Met	· q -		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	-	
	• above 80% but below 90%.		3	-	
		Meets		-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	_	-	
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	1 .	1	12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	-	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	et 1	-	
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:				
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	et 1		
-Points for each ne	rformance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment		•		
		olearned of the	total Framew	vork points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 60%			vork points engible.	Performance
· · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Total Framework • at or above 67.5%				
· · -					Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 339	- Delow 47%		Pric	ority Improveme
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33%				Turnaround
nool plan type assig					
	Plan description				
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Impro				
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. five consecutive years before the District or I				
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July				

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Reading Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• •	1			
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated Year	2008	95.5	95.5	95.7	
of Graduation	2009	87.1	87.1		
	2010	92.5			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anticipated	2008	95.5	95.5	95.7	
Year of	2009	87.1	87.1		
Graduation	2010	92.5			
	Aggregated	92.6	93.8	98.0	100.0



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.