#### School Performance Framework 2011

School: RIDGE VIEW ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0040

# AEC: Improvement Plan (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type assignment. The results presented in this traditional SPF report are for informational purposes only to show their performance against traditional measures. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 3.8 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.2%	( 10.8 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	( 11.7 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		52.6%	( 52.6 out of 100 points )	

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

SCHOOLView.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Level: High School District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year\*\*\*)

Performance Indicators							Leve	I: High Scho
School: RIDGE VIEW ACADEMY	CHARTER SCH	OOL - 0040						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	296	31.8%	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	300	3.7%	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	299	13.4%	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	231	10.8%	3	
otal	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	60	56	93	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	60	66	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	60	68	99	No
otal	9	12	75%	Meets				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u>N</u>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
leading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	56	54	91	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	45	59	93	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	47	65	94	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	56	65	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	44	66	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	67	99	No
Vriting	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	56	62	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	44	69	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	54	69	99	No
otal	26	36	72.2%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	1304/1091/788/ <b>477</b>	5.5/8.2/9.9/ <b>11.1</b> %	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	4,808	9.3%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	157	15.1	At/above State average	
otal	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
est Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.9%			95% Participation	Rate Met	542	548	
Mathematics	99.4%			95% Participation		547	550	
Writing	99.8%			95% Participation		547	548	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation		318	318	
Colorado ACT	98.7%			95% Participation		157	159	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

formance Indicator Sc Th Academic Achievement If Academic Growth If	<ul> <li>mance Indicators on the School Performance Framewor</li> <li>coring Guide</li> <li>he school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ac</li> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 201</li> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per</li> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per</li> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-y)</li> <li>the school meets the median adequate student growth p</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> </ul>	dvanced was: 10 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 bas rcentile of all schools using 20 rcentile of all schools using 20 year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline recentile and its median stude	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 (3-year SPF). ent growth percentile we	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		Point Value  4 3 2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Total Possible16(4 for eachcontent area)12(4 for eachcontent area)	Framework Poi
Academic Achievement Academic Growth	he school's percentage of students scoring proficient or ac • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 201 • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per • below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-) the school meets the median adequate student growth p • at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 45 but at or above 30. • below 30. the school does not meet the median adequate student g • at or above 70. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 40. the student subgroup meets the median adequate student • at or above 60. • below 60.	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 bas recentile of all schools using 20 recentile of all schools using 20 year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline recentile and its median stude	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 (3-year SPF). ent growth percentile we	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	4 3 2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1 t 1	16 (4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each	15
Academic Achievement	<ul> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 201</li> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per</li> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per</li> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-y)</li> <li>the school meets the median adequate student growth p</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> </ul>	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 bas recentile of all schools using 20 recentile of all schools using 20 year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline recentile and its median stude	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 (3-year SPF). ent growth percentile we	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	3 2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1	(4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each	
Academic Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per</li> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per</li> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-)</li> <li>the school meets the median adequate student growth p</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> </ul>	rcentile of all schools using 20 rcentile of all schools using 20 year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline ercentile and its median stude	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 (3-year SPF). ent growth percentile we	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	3 2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1	(4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each	
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per</li> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1- the school meets the median adequate student growth p</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <l< td=""><td>rcentile of all schools using 20 year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline ercentile and its median stude</td><td>10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 (3-year SPF). ent growth percentile we</td><td>-10 baseline (3-year SPF)</td><td>Approaching Does Not Meer Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meer Exceeds</td><td>2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1</td><td>content area) 12 (4 for each</td><td></td></l<></ul>	rcentile of all schools using 20 year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline ercentile and its median stude	10 (1-year SPF) or 2008 (3-year SPF). ent growth percentile we	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching Does Not Meer Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meer Exceeds	2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1	content area) 12 (4 for each	
Academic Growth If	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-) the school meets the median adequate student growth p</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline ercentile and its median stude growth percentile and its medi	(3-year SPF). ent growth percentile w an student growth perc	75: 	Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds	t 1 4 3 2 t 1	12 (4 for each	35
If Academic Growth If If If	<ul> <li>the school meets the median adequate student growth p</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	ercentile and its median stude	ent growth percentile w		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	4 3 2 t 1	(4 for each	35
Academic Growth If	<ul> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	growth percentile and its medi	an student growth perc		Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	3 2 t 1	(4 for each	35
Academic Growth If	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	· · ·		entile was:	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	3 2 t 1	(4 for each	35
Academic Growth If	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	· · ·		entile was:	Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds	2 t 1	(4 for each	35
Academic Growth If	<ul> <li>below 30.</li> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	· · ·		entile was:	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth <u>If</u>	<ul> <li>the school does not meet the median adequate student g</li> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	· · ·		entile was:	Exceeds	1		35
	<ul> <li>at or above 70.</li> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	· · ·		entile was:		4	content area)	
if i	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	nt growth percentile and its st				4		
lf i	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	nt growth percentile and its st			Meets			1
	<ul> <li>below 40.</li> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate student</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	nt growth percentile and its st				3		
lf :	<ul> <li>the student subgroup meets the median adequate studen</li> <li>at or above 60.</li> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	nt growth percentile and its st			Approaching	2		
	<ul><li> at or above 60.</li><li> below 60 but at or above 45.</li></ul>	nt growth percentile and its st			Does Not Mee	t 1		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		udent growth percentile	e was:				
					Exceeds	4		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Meets	3		
					Approaching	2	60	0
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
	the student subgroup does not meet the median adequat	te student arowth percentile a	nd its student arowth p	ercentile was:		•	group in 3 content	15
· · -	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	10
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	t 1					
	raduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Does not mee	<b>4</b> -		<u> </u>
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>above 80% but below 90%.</li> <li>at or above 65% but below 80%.</li> </ul>					2		
	<ul> <li>at of above 65% but below 80%.</li> <li>below 65%.</li> </ul>				Approaching	-		
		Does Not Mee	t 1	12				
	propout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				E condu	1 .	12	25
· –	• at or below 1%.		1: (0 005)		Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009				Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 200	09 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 bas	seline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	verage Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Co	lorado ACT composite score w	las:		T .	1		
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010				Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010	(1-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
-Points for each perfo	ormance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	vpe assignment				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this I	Indicator.	-	Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	otal Framew	ork points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%			1 0	Performance
· · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%			mprovement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be				rity Improvement
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	ronits	• below 33%	10 W 4770			Turnaround
				- DEIUW 33/0				- a na ound
ool plan type assignm								
	Plan description	· ·						
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Perf		, ,	ent a Priority Improvem	-		•	
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im			fore the District or Instit	•			
ority Improvement Pla	an The school is required to adopt and implement a Prio			commences on July 1 du s required to implement			, ,	n which the

## Reference

## Comparison Data

# Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness


## This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	• •				
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	8.5	10.3	11.1	11.1
Anticipated Year	2008	3.3	5.8	8.1	
of Graduation	2009	3.4	7.3		
	2010	5.1			

## This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	8.5	10.3	11.1	11.1
Anticipated	2008	3.3	5.8	8.1	
Year of	2009	3.4	7.3		
Graduation	2010	5.1			
	Aggregated	5.5	8.2	9.9	11.1

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.