School Performance Framework 2011

School: DELTA COUNTY OPPORTUNITY SCHOOL - 2155

AEC: Priority Improvement Plan (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type assignment. The results presented in this traditional SPF report are for informational purposes only to show their performance against traditional measures. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%
Framework points are percentage of points e eligible. For schools with the total points possibl Academic Achievement, Growth, 15 for Academic for Postsecondary and Wo	earned out of points data on all indicators, le are: 15 points for , 35 for Academic c Growth Gaps, and 35

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

TOTAL		25.1%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	
Academic Growth	-	-	(0 out of 0 points)	

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*

(0 out of 0 points)

impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Academic Growth Gaps

Rating

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicato								el: Middle Scho
School: DELTA COUN								(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_		_
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	- · · · ·	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	- · · ·	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	- · · ·	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1	Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		-	-		2	2	
Mathematics	100.0%		-			2	2	
Writing	100.0%		-			2	2	
Science	100.0%		-			2	2	

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: High Schoo
School: DELTA COUNTY OPPOR	TUNITY SCHO	OL						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Fotal	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	0	0	70 F OIIILS	Kuting	N<20	Weddin Growth Percentile	rencentine	Giowin:
Reading Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
	0	0						
Writing			24	-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
•			%	Kuting		Growth Percentile	Growth Fercentile	Growth:
Reading	0	0	%	-	N -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	46/ 50 /41/22	32.6/ 42 /36.6/31.8%	80%	
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	153	13.7%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	16.0	At/above State average	
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	96.0%			ipation Rate Met		24	25	
Mathematics	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		25	25	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partici	ipation Rate Met		25	25	
Science	100.0%			-		19	19	
Colorado ACT	100.0%		95% Partici	ipation Rate Met		28	28	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

oring Guide	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	rk Report						evel: All Leve
formance Indicator					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a	advanced was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20		baseline (3-year SPE)		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile 			-10 haseline (3-year SPE)	Meets	3	(4 for each	
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th pe					2	content area)	
Achievement	 below the 35th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1 		.,,,,		Does Not Mee	-		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	· · ·		ac.	Does Not Milee	<u>ч </u>		
	• at or above 60.	percentile unu its medium st	udent growth percentile w	us.	Exceeds	4	-	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 					3	-	
			Meets	2	12			
• · · · • • · • •	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	-	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growth percentile and its m	edian student growth perc	entile was:	ı	1 .	content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	-	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	-	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and it	s student growth percentil	e was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou	b
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adeque	ate student growth percenti	le and its student growth p	ercentile was:	-	-	group in 3 content	
•	• at or above 70.	<u> </u>			Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55. Meets Approaching							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee		-	
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:							
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	-	
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	-	
	 at or above 65% but below 90%. 				Approaching	2	-	
							-	
	below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1	12	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					1.	12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		··· (0 00.5)		Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% using 200				Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average using 20	009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09	baseline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2	-	
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average C	olorado ACT composite scor	e was:		•	•		
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 using 201				Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 201	0 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 b	aseline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	vpe assignment	•			
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the i	total Framev	vork noints eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%			vork points engine	Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be	low 60%			Improvement
· ·								
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or above 33% - be below 32%	10W 47%		Prio	rity Improveme
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assig								
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe		A school may not implem		-		•	
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir		five consecutive years be					
ority Improvement	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July 1 du	ring the summe	er immediate	ely following the fall	in which the
naround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	1.51		s required to implement a				

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	4.8	23.8	28.6	31.8
Anticipated Year	2008	18.2	32.5	36.6	
of Graduation	2009	33.3	42.0		
	2010	32.6			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	4.8	23.8	28.6	31.8
Anticipated	2008	18.2	32.5	36.6	
Year of	2009	33.3	42.0		
Graduation	2010	32.6			
	Aggregated	25.5	35.1	33.9	31.8



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.