School: NEW VISTA HIGH SCHOOL - 6195 District: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480 (1 Year***)

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(11.7 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.0%	(5.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		56.5%	(56.5 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: High School
School: NEW VISTA HIGH SCHO	OL - 6195							(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	112	67.9%	35	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	113	36.3%	56	
Writing	3	4		Meets	112	50.9%	51	
Science	3	4		Meets	59	62.7%	75	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4	701 011165	Does Not Meet	96	22	8	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	97	43	83	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	30	38	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	33	30	30	140
Total	-	12	33.370	Does Not Meet				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	18	24	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	51	98	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	49	42	99	No
Writing .	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	2071	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	25	53	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	25	79	No
Total	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				-
Postsacondary and Workforce Pondings	Points Earned				N	Pata/Scara	Minimum State Expectation	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Graduation Potes Ave Four Four / Tyre		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	74/65/ 82 /82	Rate/Score 63.5/81.5/ 92.7 /82.9%	•	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Exceeds			At/holow State average	
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite	3 3	4		Meets	380 76	1.8% 21.2	At/below State average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets Meets	76	21.2	At/above State average	
			03.370					
	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	95.5%			95% Participation R		127	133	
Mathematics	96.2%			95% Participation R		128	133	
Writing	95.5%			95% Participation R		127	133	
Science	91.9%			Does Not Meet 95%	<u> </u>	68	74	
Colorado ACT	96.2%			95% Participation R	ate Met	76	79	

Scoring Guide
Level: High School

Scoring Guide The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
				Tramework rom
• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	
• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1		
If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
lf the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•			
• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:		•		
• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	•		12	
• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	Approaching	2	,	
		1		
• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
		3		
	-			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. below 30. If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. below 40. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. below 40 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 45. below 30 below 30. below 45 but at or above 55. below 47 but at or above 55. below 48 but at or above 59. below 49 but at or above 50. below 40 below 30 below 40 bel	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). Does Not Meet fthe school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 30. Does Not Meet fthe school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 70. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 70 but at or above 40. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 60 but at or above 40. • below 60 but at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 40. • below 60 but at or above 55. • below 60 but at or above 55. • below 60 but at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 90. • at or above 90%. • at or below 10% but above the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). • at or above 10%. • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). • at or above 10%. • at or above 10% but above the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF). • at or above 10%. • at or above 10% but above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3	Does Not Meet 1	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). • at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 30. • below 30. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 40. • below 30. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 40. • below 40. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 60. • below 70. • below 70.

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	ype assignment	
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eli	gible.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School plan type assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.						

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth 60-99 70-99 Exceeds Exceeds Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 30-44 40-54 Approaching Approaching

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Does not meet

1-39

1-29

Does not meet

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	73.2	81.7	82.9	82.9
Anticipated Year	2008	75.9	89.0	92.7	
of Graduation	2009	68.2	81.5		
i [2010	63.5			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	73.2	81.7	82.9	82.9
Anticipated	2008	75.9	89.0	92.7	
Year of	2009	68.2	81.5		
Graduation	2010	63.5			
	Aggregated	70.5	84.3	87.8	82.9

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.