School Performance Framework 2011

Level: Elementary School

District: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480 (1 Year***)

School: GOLD HILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 3488

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 59% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 59% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 37% - below 47% |
| Turnaround | below 37% |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

| Performance Indicators | Rating | ting % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible* | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Academic Achievement | - | - | (0 out of 0 points) | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth | - | - | (0 out of 0 points) | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | - | - | (0 out of 0 points) | | | | | | |
| Test Participation** | 95% Participation Rate Met | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | - | (0.0 out of 0 points) | I | | | | | |
| scores are not negatively impacted. | | | of students. In these cases, the points are removed i | · | | | | | |

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.



SCHOOLVIeW.org

| Performance Indicato | ors | | | | | | Level: E | lementary Scho |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| School: GOLD HILL EL | | HOOL - 3488 | | | | | | (1 Year** |
| Cademic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | (|
| Reading | 0 | 0 | | - | N<16 | - | - | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | | - | N<16 | - | - | |
| Writing | 0 | 0 | | - | N<16 | - | - | |
| Science | 0 | 0 | | - | N<16 | - | - | |
| otal | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Writing | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| lotal | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | Subgroup N | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 0 | 0 | % | - | 1 | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | ,- | - | N<20 | <u>-</u> | <u> </u> | - |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Aathematics | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | <u> </u> | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | - · · · · | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | | - |
| Vriting | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | <u> </u> | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | <u> </u> | - |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| fotal | 0 | 0 | % | - | | | | |
| Test Participation | % of Students Teste | d | | Rating | | Students Tested | Total Students | |
| Reading | 88.9% | | | - | | 8 | 9 | |
| Mathematics | 88.9% | | | - | | 8 | 9 | |
| Writing | 88.9% | | | - | | 8 | 9 | |
| Science | 100.0% | | | - | | 3 | 3 | |

| Scoring Guide | | | | | | | Level: Eler | mentary School | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Scoring Guide for | Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame | work Report | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance India | catorScoring Guide | | | | Rating | Point Value | Total Possible | Framework Points | | | |
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient of | or advanced was: | | | | | | | | | |
| | at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using | 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 |) baseline (3-year SPF). | | Exceeds | 4 | 16 | | | | |
| Academic | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th | percentile of all schools using | g 2010 (1-year SPF) or 20 | 08-10 baseline (3-year SPF). | Meets | 3 | (4 for each | | | | |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th | percentile of all schools using | g 2010 (1-year SPF) or 20 | 08-10 baseline (3-year SPF). | Approaching | 2 | content area) | | | | |
| | below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 | (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 base | eline (3-year SPF). | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | | | | |
| | If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | Meets | 3 | | | | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | | Approaching | 2 | 12 | | | | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (4 for each | | | | |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate stude | ent growth percentile and its n | median student growth pe | ercentile was: | | | content area) | | | | |
| | • at or above 70. | • at or above 70. Exceeds 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | | | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | | | | |
| | • below 40. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | | | | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stu | udent growth percentile and i | ts student growth percen | tile was: | - | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 60. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | Meets | 3 | | | | | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | | | | Approaching | 2 | 60 | | | | |
| Academic | • below 30. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (5 for each subgroup | | | | |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade | quate student growth percent | tile and its student growt | h percentile was: | - | | group in 3 content | | | | |
| | • at or above 70. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | areas) | | | | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | | | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | | | | |
| | • below 40. | | | | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | | | | |
| Cut-Points for eac | h performance indicator | | Cut-Points for plan ty | pe assignment | | | | | | | |
| | Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th | is Indicator. | | Cut Point: The school earn | ed of the tot | al Framewor | k points eligible. | | | | |
| Achievement; | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | | • at or above 59% | | | Р | erformance | | | |
| Growth; Gaps | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | • at or above 47% - below | v 59% | | lr | nprovement | | | |
| | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | • at or above 37% - below | N 47% | | Priori | ty Improvement | | | |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 37% | | | | Furnaround | | | |
| School plan type a | assignments | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Plan description | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a | Performance Plan. | A school may not impl | ement a Priority Improveme | ent and/or Turi | naround Plan | for longer than a co | mbined total of | | | |
| Improvement Plai | n The school is required to adopt and implement ar | n Improvement Plan. | | before the District or Institu | | | - | | | | |
| Priority Improven | nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a | Priority Improvement Plan. | consecutive school yea | ars commences on July 1 du | ring the summ | er immediate | ly following the fall | in which the | | | |
| Turnaround Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a | Turnaround Plan. | school is notified that | it is required to implement a | a Priority Impro | ovement or T | urnaround Plan. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

| Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|--------|------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

| | Reading | | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 | |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 | |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 | |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 | |

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).





For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.