Level: All Levels

School: ELDORADO K-8 SCHOOL - 2589 District: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480 (3 Year***)

	Per	forma	nce	Plan
--	-----	-------	-----	------

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment		Framework	Points E	arned			
Performance		at or above 599					
Improvement		at or above 47	7% - belov	v 59%			
Priority Improvement		at or above 37	7% - belov	v 47%			
Turnaround			belov	v 37%			
Framework noints	are	calculated	using	the			

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	84.3%	(21.1 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		83.6%	(83.6 out of 100 points)	and from both the points expend and the points eligible so scores

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicato	rc						Lovely Ele	ementary Schoo
School: ELDORADO K							Levei: Eli	amentary Sono. ***3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(5 Teal
Reading	4	4	70 FUIILS	Exceeds	728	89.2%	91	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	727	91.5%	95	
Writing	4	4	-	Exceeds	727	82.8%	95	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	230	77.8%	94	
otal	16	16	100%	Exceeds	230	77.870		
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	433	58	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	434	57	23	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	433	58	24	Yes
otal	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Cademic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
eading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	20	61	29	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	106	61	20	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	39	59	32	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	43	50	54	No
Nathematics	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	20	66	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	106	58	23	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	39	69	35	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	24	47	71	No
Vriting	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	20	59	39	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	106	62	26	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	39	59	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	76	64	52	Yes
otal	40	48	83.3%	Meets				
est Participation %	% of Students Tested		Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	ition Rate Met		741	741	
Mathematics	99.9%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		743	744	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	ition Rate Met		743	743	
Science	99.2%		95% Participa	ition Rate Met		233	235	

Performance Indicato	rs						Leve	l: Middle Scho
School: ELDORADO K								(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(0.100.1
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1396	90.1%	94	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1399	80.3%	94	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	1329	82.5%	93	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	468	71.8%	90	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1321	54	14	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1325	55	41	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	1258	54	29	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile Percentile	Growth?
Reading	20	20	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	61	64	49	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	246	62	15	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	63	76	63	Yes
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	92	69	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	136	75	63	Yes
Mathematics	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	63	56	70	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	249	64	38	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	63	59	83	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	95	68	69	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	234	63	79	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	61	53	70	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	235	62	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	57	61	76	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	88	68	71	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	226	64	70	No
Total	51	60	85%	Meets				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested		Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%		95% Participa	ation Rate Met		1418	1426	
Mathematics	99.5%		95% Participa	ation Rate Met		1420	1427	
Writing	94.8%		95% Participa	ation Rate Met		1352	1426	
Science	99.0%		95% Participa	ation Rate Met		473	478	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scorina Guide Ratina Point Value Total Possible Framework Points The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 25 2 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF) Approachin content area) below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). oes Not Mee 1 if the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. 3 Meets 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 12 Approachin Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 50 f the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: Growth content area) • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds 3 below 70 but at or above 55. Meets below 55 but at or above 40. Approachin 2 oes Not Mee 1 below 40. if the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 3 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets below 45 but at or above 30. Approaching 2 60 Academic below 30. oes Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: **Growth Gaps** group in 3 content 25 • at or above 70. 4 Exceeds areas) Meets 3 • below 70 but at or above 55. 2 below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching below 40. oes Not Mee 1 Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible. Achievement: • at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 59% **Growth**; Gaps • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% **Total Framework** • at or above 47% - below 59% Improvement • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 37% - below 47% **Priority Improvement** Approaching **Points** • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet** • below 37% School plan type assignments Plan description Performance Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.