## School Performance Framework 2011

# Level: Elementary School

# School: ERIE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2758

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (3 Year\*\*\*)

	Performance Plan	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
	This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(17.2 out of 25 points)	
percentage of the total points they earned ou the total points eligible in each performa indicator. The overall score is then matched to scoring guide below to determine the plan type		Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	( 45.9 out of 50 points )	
	Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned					
	Performance at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
	Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%					
	Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
	Turnaround below 37%					
	Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.	TOTAL		81.9%	(81.9 out of 100 points)	
	For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic	* Schools may not be eligible for al scores are not negatively impacted		ifficient numbers of	of students. In these cases, the points are ren	noved from both the points earned and the points eligible, so

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups)

# What do the performance indicators measure?

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

#### **Academic Achievement**

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
School: ERIE ELEMEI	NTARY SCHOOL	- 2758						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	744	76.1%	60	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	741	66.7%	42	
Writing	3	4		Meets	744	57.1%	54	
Science	3	4		Meets	251	58.2%	71	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	465	62	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	463	56	51	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	465	62	40	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	84	55	49	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	92	67	43	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	38	42	78	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	32	66	58	Yes
Students needing to catch up	<b>o</b> 4	4		Exceeds	131	63	60	Yes
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	83	61	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	91	52	63	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	38	40	87	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	31	57	84	No
Students needing to catch up	<b>o</b> 3	4		Meets	151	57	74	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	84	66	61	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	92	54	52	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	38	52	81	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	32	54	70	No
Students needing to catch up	<b>o</b> 4	4		Exceeds	228	64	61	Yes
Total	45	60	75%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%			95% Participatio	n Rate Met	768	770	
Mathematics	99.4%			95% Participatio	n Rate Met	765	770	
Writing	99.7%			95% Participatio	n Rate Met	768	770	
Science	100.0%			95% Participatio	n Rate Met	262	262	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary School			
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report									
Performance India	catorScoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient of										
	<ul> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using</li> </ul>	2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10	) baseline (3-year SPF).		Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th</li> </ul>	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)									
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF									
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010</li> </ul>	Does Not Mee	t 1								
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median s	student growth percentile	was:							
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4								
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3					
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	below 45 but at or above 30.									
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its r	median student growth p	ercentile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4								
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3								
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2								
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1							
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and i	its student growth percen	tile was:							
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4								
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	Meets	3		•						
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	60							
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou							
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade			group in 3 content							
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)							
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3								
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2								
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1							
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	oe assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.							
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below	v 59%		nprovement				
· •	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%						Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%		Turnaround					
School plan type a				·							
	Plan description										
Performance Plan		Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total o								
mprovement Plan			five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
-	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a										
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		- '	it is required to implement	•						
			1								

#### Reference

#### **Comparison Data**

# Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)												
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem Middle High		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).





For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.