School Performance Framework 2011

Level: All Levels

School: VISTA PEAK P-8 EXPLORATORY - 9083

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (1 Year***)

This is the plan type the school is required to
adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a
plan based on their overall framework score,
•
which is a percentage of the total points they
anneal and of the state waters altable to anothe
earned out of the total points eligible in each
performance indicator. The overall score is then
performance maleator. The overall score is then
matched to the scoring guide below to
5 5
determine the plan type.

Turnaround Plan

Framework Points Earned
at or above 59%
at or above 47% - below 59%
at or above 37% - below 47%
below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Does Not Meet	31.3%	(7.8 out of 25 points)	
Does Not Meet	29.2%	(14.6 out of 50 points)	
Does Not Meet	33.3%	(8.3 out of 25 points)	
95% Participation Rate Met			
	30.7%	(30.7 out of 100 points)	
	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet31.3%Does Not Meet29.2%Does Not Meet33.3%95% Participation Rate Met	Does Not Meet 31.3% (7.8 out of 25 points) Does Not Meet 29.2% (14.6 out of 50 points) Does Not Meet 33.3% (8.3 out of 25 points) 95% Participation Rate Met 33.3% (14.6 out of 50 points)

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: VISTA PEAK		DRY						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	198	49.0%	14	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	202	40.6%	6	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	203	35.0%	18	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	17.1%	12	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	29	42	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	116	24	61	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	116	29	46	No
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	27	55	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	29	45	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	33	66	No
Students needing to catch up	b 1	4		Does Not Meet	56	29	67	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	28	72	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	24	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u> </u>	-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	25	75	No
Students needing to catch up	b 1	4		Does Not Meet	53	32	77	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	23	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	29	49	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	31	65	No
Students needing to catch up	b 1	4		Does Not Meet	70	34	62	No
Total	12	48	25%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.7%		95% Part	cipation Rate Met		214	219	
Mathematics	99.5%		95% Part	cipation Rate Met		218	219	
Writing	99.6%		95% Part	cipation Rate Met		219	220	
Science	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		79	79	

Performance Indicat	ors						Level:	Middle Schoo
School: VISTA PEAK		DRY						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	332	50.3%	14	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	333	31.2%	17	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	333	34.8%	14	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	22.6%	13	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	306	42	43	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	310	36	83	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	308	39	70	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	160	44	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	190	41	60	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	48	88	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	94	42	67	No
Students needing to catch up	p 2	4		Approaching	130	44	78	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	163	36	92	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	192	38	89	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	28	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	96	34	92	No
Students needing to catch up	o 1	4		Does Not Meet	170	39	97	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	163	40	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	192	42	79	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	37	90	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	96	42	84	No
Students needing to catch up	o 2	4		Approaching	177	42	87	No
Total	24	60	40%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		372	373	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		371	371	
Writing	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		373	373	
Science	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		67	67	

formance Indica	ntorScoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin			
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:									
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2	010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10	baseline (3-year SPF).		Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th p	ercentile of all schools using	g 2010 (1-year SPF) or 20	08-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	. Meets	3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th p	ercentile of all schools using	g 2010 (1-year SPF) or 20	08-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	. Approaching	2	content area)				
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Does Not Mee	t 1					
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile	was:							
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4							
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 		Meets	3							
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 										
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate studen	t growth percentile and its n	nedian student growth p	ercentile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4					
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2								
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and in	ts student growth percer	tile was:		1					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4 3					
	below 60 but at or above 45.										
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou	.			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequ	iate student growth percent	ile and its student growt	h percentile was:			group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school ea	arned of the t	total Framew	ork points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 59% 				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	 at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% 	Meets	Total Framewor	k • at or above 47% - be	elow 59%			Improvement			
	 at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% 										
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround			
ool plan type as	signments										
	Plan description										
rformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan.	A school may not impl	ement a Priority Improvem	ent and/or Turr	naround Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of			
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years	before the District or Instit	ute is required t	to restructure	e or close the schoo	The five			
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Dlan	consecutive school yea			:	I. C. H	م ماط مام امار ما			

Reference

Comparison Data


Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
		Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.