School Performance Framework 2011

Level: All Levels

School: HANSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6534

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each
performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to
determine the plan type.

Turnaround Plan

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	37.5%	(18.8 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	37.5%	(9.4 out of 25 points)
Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		34.5%	(34.5 out of 100 points)

negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicate	ors						Level: Eler	mentary Schoo
School: HANSON ELE		OOL						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<u>,</u>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	401	30.2%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	392	30.1%	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	396	16.2%	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	125	6.4%	2	
Fotal	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	228	37	56	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	229	35	66	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	226	36	62	No
Гotal	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	211	37	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	213	37	55	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	29	71	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	191	37	56	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	156	37	66	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	212	34	66	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	214	35	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	20	89	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	192	36	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	146	40	76	No
Writing	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	209	35	63	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	211	36	61	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	15	77	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	189	37	63	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	184	35 69		No
Гotal	16	60	26.7%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	96.3%		95% Part	cipation Rate Met		448	465	
Mathematics	94.0%		Does Not Mee	95% Participation Rate		436	464	
Writing	94.8%		95% Part	cipation Rate Met		442	466	
Science	95.9%		95% Part	cipation Rate Met		139	145	

Performance Indicat	ors						Level:	Middle Schoo
School: HANSON EL	EMENTARY SCH	DOL						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	353	40.8%	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	357	27.2%	11	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	353	32.3%	11	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	107	19.6%	10	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	320	48	58	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	327	52	88	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	318	52	72	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	279	47	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	293	48	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	36	71	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	265	49	58	No
Students needing to catch up	p 2	4		Approaching	191	47	71	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	286	52	89	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	300	53	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	26	98	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	272	55	88	No
Students needing to catch up	p 2	4		Approaching	233	52	94	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Approaching	277	52	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	291	54	72	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	39	84	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	263	55	73	No
Students needing to catch up	p 2	4		Approaching	222	54	82	
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Testea			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	92.5%		Does Not Mee	95% Participation Rate		395	427	
Mathematics	93.4%		Does Not Mee	95% Participation Rate		399	427	
Writing	92.5%		Does Not Meet	95% Participation Rate		395	427	
Science	90.5%		Does Not Meet	95% Participation Rate		114	126	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framewo	ork Report									
	atorScoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir		
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or a	advanced was:									
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 20)10 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10) baseline (3-year SP	F).		Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ercentile of all schools using	g 2010 (1-year SPF)	or 2008	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	ercentile of all schools using	g 2010 (1-year SPF)	or 2008	-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)			
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1 	-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	eline (3-year SPF).			Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median s	tudent growth perce	entile w	as:		_				
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4							
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 					Meets	3				
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 45 but at or above 30.									
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student	growth percentile and its n	nedian student grow	vth perc	entile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 					Meets	3				
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching	2							
	• below 40.					Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and i	ts student growth pe	ercentil	e was:						
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4				
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			, All and a second s			2	60			
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Mee		(5 for each subgrou	•		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adeque	ate student growth percent	tile and its student g	rowth p	ercentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)			
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 										
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 					Approaching	2				
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	t 1				
ut-Points for eacl	h performance indicator		Cut-Points fo	or plan t	ype assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.			Cut Point: The school ea	rned of the t	total Framew	ork points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Fram	ework	• at or above 47% - be	low 59%			Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	s	• at or above 37% - be	low 47%		Pric	ority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%					Turnaround		
chool plan type a	ssignments				· 						
	Plan description										
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not	implem	ent a Priority Improveme	ent and/or Turn	around Plan	for longer than a co	mbined total of		
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive y	ears be	fore the District or Institu	ute is required t	o restructure	or close the schoo	. The five		
riority Improvem	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive schoo	ol years	commences on July 1 du	ring the summe	er immediate	ly following the fall	in which the		
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified	that it i	s required to implement a	a Priority Impro	vement or Tu	urnaround Plan.			

Reference

Comparison Data


Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.