School: VANTAGE POINT - 0210

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year***)

AEC: Improvement Plan (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type assignment. The results presented in this traditional SPF report are for informational purposes only to show their performance against traditional measures. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 339

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	28.6%	(4.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		25.7%	(25.7 out of 100 points)	

*Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.



Daufaumanaa Indiaata	- V						Lovel, El	ementary Schoo
Performance Indicato School: VANTAGE PO							Level: Eld	3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(5 fear
Reading	0	0	701 011165	-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		_	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0			N<16	_	-	
Science	0	0		_	N<16	-	- -	
otal	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	70 T OHITS	- Nutring	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Writing	0	0			N<20			
Total	0	0	%	-	11120	·		
lotai	0	0	70	_				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Vriting	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0	'	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
otal	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d	Rat	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	50.0%		-			1	2	
Mathematics	50.0%		-			1	2	
Writing	50.0%		-			1	2	
Science	50.0%		-			1	2	

Performance Indicato	ors						Level:	Middle Schoo
School: VANTAGE PC								(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(0.1001)
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	9.5%		
Mathematics	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	23	8.7%	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	4.4%	- -	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	38	79	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	21	99	No
Writing	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	22	26	92	No
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	7.5	-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	28	94	No
Total	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	94.3%		Does Not Meet	: 95% Participation Rate		66	70	
Mathematics	97.1%			cipation Rate Met		68	70	
Writing	97.1%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		68	70	
Science	97.2%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		35	36	

						Level	: High Schoo
							(3 Year***
Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(5 : ca:
1	4	727 03320	Does Not Meet	147	43.5%	7	
1	4		Does Not Meet	148		3	
1	4						
	4						
4	16	25%				•	
		2370	20001101111001				
Dainta Farmad	Doints Flinible	0/ Doints	Datin a	A.I			Made Adequate Growth?
		% PUIIIS					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						No
							No
				47	24	88	No
3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Made
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Ratina		•		Growth?
		_					
		2370		N<20	-		-
					22		No
			DOES NOT WIECE				-
			-			-	-
			Door Not Moot		- 24	- 20	No
		27.50/		24	34	89	INO
		37.5%	Approaching	N :20			
			- 11			-	<u>-</u>
			Approaching		48		No
			-	-	-	-	-
					<u>-</u>		-
				41	34	99	No
		25%	Does Not Meet				
0	0		-		-	-	-
1	4		Does Not Meet		32	91	No
	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
1	4		Does Not Meet	39	31	93	No
7	24	29.2%	Does Not Meet				
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
1	4		Does Not Meet	685/532/ 358 /204	17.7/32.7/ 39.9 /38.2%	80%	
1	4		Does Not Meet	2,787	14.5%	At/below State average	
1	4		Does Not Meet	262	16.7	At/above State average	
3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
6 of Students Tester			Ratina		Students Tested	Total Students	
			<u> </u>				
00.4/0			. 3370 Furticipation Nati	<u> </u>	203		
80.3%		Does Not Meet	t 95% Participation Rate	2	151	188	
	1 1 1 4 Points Earned 1 1 1 3 Points Earned 2 0 1 0 0 1 3 0 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 7 Points Earned 1 1 3 0 3	1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 3 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 1 3 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 1 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 8 0 0 0 1 1 4 0 1 4 0	1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 4 16 25% Points Eligible % Points 1 4 1 4 1 4 2 8 25% 0 0 1 4 0 0 0 1 4 4 3 8 37.5% 0 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 1 4 4 2 8 25% 0 0 0 1 4 4 2 8 25% 0 0 0 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 <td>1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 3 12 25% Does Not Meet 0 0 - Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet Does Not Meet 0 0 - Does Not Meet</td> <td>1 4 Does Not Meet 147 1 4 Does Not Meet 148 1 4 Does Not Meet 150 1 4 Does Not Meet 99 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 45 1 4 Does Not Meet 47 3 12 25% Does Not Meet 47 3 12 25% Does Not Meet 47 4 Does Not Meet 47 47 2 8 25% Does Not Meet 20 0 0 - N 20 1 4 Does Not Meet 20 20 1 4 Does Not Meet 24 24 3 8 37.5% Approaching 20 N 20 0 0 - N 20 N <td< td=""><td> 1</td><td> Points Earned</td></td<></td>	1 4 Does Not Meet 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 3 12 25% Does Not Meet 0 0 - Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet Does Not Meet 0 0 - Does Not Meet	1 4 Does Not Meet 147 1 4 Does Not Meet 148 1 4 Does Not Meet 150 1 4 Does Not Meet 99 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 4 16 25% Does Not Meet 1 4 Does Not Meet 45 1 4 Does Not Meet 47 3 12 25% Does Not Meet 47 3 12 25% Does Not Meet 47 4 Does Not Meet 47 47 2 8 25% Does Not Meet 20 0 0 - N 20 1 4 Does Not Meet 20 20 1 4 Does Not Meet 24 24 3 8 37.5% Approaching 20 N 20 0 0 - N 20 N <td< td=""><td> 1</td><td> Points Earned</td></td<>	1	Points Earned

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide					evei: All Levei
	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	2 11			
Performance Indicator		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	I Farada	1 4	4.6	
A d	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	45
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		1 .		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	content area)			
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou	р
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:		•	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:				
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee			
ut Dointe for oach					
Cut-Points for each pe	· · ·	armod of the	total Francisco	والمانون والمواسون	
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.	arned of the	iotai Framev	vork points eligible.	

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	 at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% 	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type as	signments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Ir	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years be	fore the District or Institute is required to restructure	re or close the school. The five			
Priority Improvement	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pri	n The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is	required to implement a Priority Improvement or	Turnaround Plan.			

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	18.2	28.3	34.9	38.2
Anticipated Year	2008	30.1	43.3	46.0	
of Graduation	2009	15.2	28.2		
	2010	12.1			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	18.2	28.3	34.9	38.2
Anticipated	2008	30.1	43.3	46.0	
Year of	2009	15.2	28.2		
Graduation	2010	12.1			
	Aggregated	17.7	32.7	39.9	38.2

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.