## School Performance Framework 2011

### School: VANTAGE POINT - 0210

## AEC: Improvement Plan (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type assignment. The results presented in this traditional SPF report are for informational purposes only to show their performance against traditional measures. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment
Tes	at or above 60%	Performance
	at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
то	at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
10	below 33%	Turnaround

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

#### Performance Indicators % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible\* Rating Academic Achievement 25.0% **Does Not Meet** ( 3.8 out of 15 points ) Academic Growth **Does Not Meet** 25.0% (8.8 out of 35 points) Academic Growth Gaps **Does Not Meet** 25.0% (3.8 out of 15 points) Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness **Does Not Meet** 25.0% (8.8 out of 35 points) st Participation\*\* **Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate** TAL 25.2% ( 25.2 out of 100 points )

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. \*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Level: All Levels

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (1 Year\*\*\*)

Performance Indicato							Level: El	ementary Scho
School: VANTAGE PC	DINT							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	0	0	%	-				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,.	-	N<20	<u>-</u>		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u> </u>	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20			
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	<u> </u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	- · · · ·	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u> </u>	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1	Rati	ing		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	50.0%		-			1	2	
Mathematics	50.0%		-			1	2	
Writing	50.0%		-			1	2	
Science	50.0%		-			1	2	

Performance Indicat							Level:	Middle Schoo
School: VANTAGE P	OINT							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	9.5%	-	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	8.7%	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	4.4%	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	38	79	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	21	99	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	26	92	No
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			-
English Language Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up		0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	<b>o</b> 0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	<b>p</b> 1	4		Does Not Meet	21	28	94	No
Total	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	94.3%		Does Not Meet	95% Participation Rate		66	70	
Mathematics	97.1%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		68	70	
Writing	97.1%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		68	70	
Science	97.2%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		35	36	

Performance Indicators							eve	: High Schoo
School: VANTAGE POINT							LEVE	(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(1 ieai
Reading	1	4	///	Does Not Meet	18	27.8%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	18	0.0%	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	18	16.7%	4	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-		
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
	5	12	23/0	Does Not Meet				
Acadomic Crowth	Deinte Farned	Deinte Elizible	% Points	Datina		Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat Growth?
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINts	Rating	<u>N</u>	Percentile	Percentile	Growing
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	_
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_		_
Mathematics	0	0	%	_				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	70	-	N<20		_	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20			
-	0	0			N<20			
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up			0/		IN<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	240/195/ <b>163</b> /204	12.1/28.2/ <b>46</b> /38.2%	80%	
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,024	13.5%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	88	16.0	At/above State average	
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	83.6%		Does Not Mee	t 95% Participation Ra	te	56	67	
Mathematics	83.8%			t 95% Participation Ra		57	68	
Writing	85.1%			t 95% Participation Ra		57	67	
Science	81.8%			t 95% Participation Ra		27	33	
Colorado ACT	100.0%							

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

SPF Combined 2011 0020 - 0210 (1 Year\*\*\*)

ring Guid <u>e for Perf</u>	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework F	Report						
formance Indicator		•			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advo	anced was:			<u>y</u>			
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010		seline (3-year SPF).		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			10 baseline (3-year SPF)	. Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perce				Approaching	2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year				Does Not Mee	t 1	,	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per			IS:				
	• at or above 60.		<u> </u>		Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth nercentile and its med	ian student arowth perc	entile was:		<b>4</b> -	content area)	
	• at or above 70.		ian staacht grotten pere		Exceeds	4	content area,	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	arowth percentile and its s	tudent arowth perceptile	was.	Does NOT MEE	ч <u>т</u>		
	• at or above 60.	growin percentile unu ils s	aacht growth percentile	wd3.	Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3		
							60	
• · · · • · · • ·	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	student growth percentile	and its student growth p	ercentile was:	1	1	group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				•			
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						12	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (2	1-year SPF) or 2007-09 bas	eline (3-year SPF).		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009	(1-year SPF) or 2007-09 ba	seline (3-year SPF).		Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Color	rado ACT composite score v	vas:					
	• at or above 22.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1	-year SPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-year SPF).		Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1				Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	, ,			Does Not Mee	t 1		
Deinte far oach ro			Cut Deints for plan t					
	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t					
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Ind			Cut Point: The school ea	irned of the	lotal Framew		De la companya de la
· ·	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 60%	1 600/			Performance
· · -	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be	elow 47%		Prio	rity Improveme
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround
ool plan type assig								
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Perfor		school may not implem		-			
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Impre	ovement Plan. fi	ve consecutive years bef	ore the District or Institu	ute is required t	to restructure	or close the school	. The five

## Reference

# Comparison Data

## Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	18.2	28.3	34.9	38.2
Anticipated Year	2008	30.1	43.3	46.0	
of Graduation	2009	15.2	28.2		
	2010	12.1			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	18.2	28.3	34.9	38.2
Anticipated	2008	30.1	43.3	46.0	
Year of	2009	15.2	28.2		
Graduation	2010	12.1			
	Aggregated	17.7	32.7	<b>39.9</b>	38.2



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.