School: NIKOLA TESLA EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY CENTER - 2528

## AEC: Performance Plan (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type assignment. The results presented in this traditional SPF report are for informational purposes only to show their performance against traditional measures. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 60%
at or above 47% - below 60%
at or above 33% - below 47%
below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 3.8 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	62.5%	( 21.9 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.9%	( 9.9 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	( 11.7 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		47.3%	( 47.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.



District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (3 Year\*\*\*)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicator	'S						Level:	Middle Scho
School: NIKOLA TESLA	EDUCATION (	OPPORTUNITY	Y CENTER	1				(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	45.3%	11	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	17.9%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	24.2%	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	13.2%	6	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	89	54	62	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	89	45	92	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	90	53	80	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequa
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	70	51	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	59	57	71	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	55	63	75	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	70	45	93	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	59	43	95	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	74	42	95	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	71	53	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	59	53	83	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	69	53	87	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Parti	icipation Rate Met		151	151	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Parti	icipation Rate Met		152	152	
			0E0/ D13	Salara Data Adal		454		
Writing	100.0%		95% Parti	icipation Rate Met		151	151	

Performance Indicators							Leve	: High Schoo
School: NIKOLA TESLA EDUCAT	ION OPPORTU	<b>NITY CENTER</b>						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	53.1%	14	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	10.9%	11	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	27.3%	12	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	81	22.2%	9	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	112	67	46	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	113	50	99	No
Writing	3	4	,	Meets	112	66	91	No
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	33.07	Exceeds	82	66	40	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	60	76	69	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	20	64	96	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	53	77	94	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	33		3.	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	83	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	61	46	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	44	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	20	47	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	93	48	99	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	02.070	Meets	82	66	91	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	60	65	91	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	20	78	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	88	67	98	No
Total	38	52	73.1%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	304/238/171/ <b>86</b>	41.4/55/54.4/ <b>55.8</b> %	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	1,023	4.3%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	113	16.4	At/above State average	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet			,	
	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%		95% Parti	icipation Rate Met		157	159	
Mathematics	98.7%			icipation Rate Met		157	159	
Writing	98.7%			icipation Rate Met		157	159	
Science	98.0%			icipation Rate Met		97	99	
	99.1%			icipation Rate Met		113	- <del>-</del>	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

(	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	0.4	0-1-1-16-1	T-1-1 D11	F
erformance Indicator		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:		1 4	1.0	
A d	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	45
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	E d .	1 4		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	f the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:	<u> </u>	1	content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	o l
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:		•		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness		Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching	2	a.caco.,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	DOCS NOT WICE	4 -		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above 22.  • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3		
			2		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Approaching			
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		

	Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type as	ssignments							
·	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround	Plan for longer than a combined total of			

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

## **Comparison Data**

#### **Academic Achievement**

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading				Math	Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading				Math Writ		Writing	Vriting		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	39.1	52.9	55.2	55.8
Anticipated Year	2008	35.1	50.0	53.6	
of Graduation	2009	47.8	62.7		
	2010	44.9			

## This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	39.1	52.9	55.2	55.8
Anticipated	2008	35.1	50.0	53.6	
Year of	2009	47.8	62.7		
Graduation	2010	44.9			
	Aggregated	41.4	55.0	54.4	55.8

#### State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, school earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.