Level: High School

School: MONTBELLO HIGH SCHOOL - 5995 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Priority Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.0%	(8.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(11.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		44.2%	(44.2 out of 100 points)	to agreed and the points eliable, so espays are not asymbolic

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.



^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject area when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the school makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: High School
School: MONTBELLO HIGH SCH	OOL - 5995							(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	•
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	748	24.1%	-	
Mathematics	1	4	,	Does Not Meet	744	7.3%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	746	10.7%	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	356	5.9%	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	701 011115	Approaching	631	50	84	No No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	625	57	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	631	50	97	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	031		37	110
Total	,	12	J0.370	Approaching				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	551	50	84	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	620	50	84	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	77	41	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	384	52	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	442	49	95	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	547	57	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	614	56	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	73	52	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	386	57	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	551	58	99	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	552	51	97	No
Minority Students	2	4	1	Approaching	620	50	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4	,	Does Not Meet	77	31	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	385	53	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	561	51	98	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	70 1 OIIIL3	Does Not Meet	393/460/ 412 /349	56.2/57.2/ 60.2 /58.7%	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	3,217	6.4%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	339	15.3	At/above State average	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	333	13.3	At above State average	
			22.37			Charlente Tastad	Total Charles	
	6 of Students Tested 98.4%			Rating	Pata Mat	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading				95% Participation I		833	847	
Mathematics	98.0%			95% Participation I		827	844	
Writing	97.9% 99.0%			95% Participation F		829 384	847	
Science Colorado ACT	94.4%			•	% Participation Rate	339	388 359	
COIOI AUG ACT	J+.+/0			DOES NOT MICEL 93	or articipation nate	JJ3	333	

Scoring Guide
Level: High School

Scoring Guide The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
				Tramework rom
• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)	Approaching	2	content area)	
• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF).	Does Not Meet	1		
If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
lf the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•			
• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:		•		
• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2		
• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	•		12	
• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	Approaching	2	,	
		1		
• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
		3		
	-			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. below 30. If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. below 40. If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. below 40 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 45. below 30 below 30. below 45 but at or above 55. below 47 but at or above 55. below 48 but at or above 59. below 49 but at or above 50. below 40 below 30 below 40 bel	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). Does Not Meet fthe school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 30. Does Not Meet fthe school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 70. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 70 but at or above 40. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 55 but at or above 40. • below 60 but at or above 40. • below 60 but at or above 50. • below 60 but at or above 50. • below 60 but at or above 40. • below 60 but at or above 80. • at or above 70. Exceeds • below 60 but at or above 80. • below 60 but at or above 80. • below 60 but at or above 80. • at or above 80. • at or above 80. • below 60 but at or above 8	Does Not Meet 1	• below the 15th percentile of all schools using 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF). • at or above 60. • below 60 but at or above 45. • below 30. • below 30. • below 70 but at or above 55. • below 40. • below 30. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 40. • below 40. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 40. • below 55 but at or above 45. • below 60. • below 75 but at or above 40. • below 40. • below 60. • below 40. • below 60. • below 60.

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan t	type assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

school plan type assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.						

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth 60-99 70-99 Exceeds Exceeds Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 30-44 40-54 Approaching Approaching Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This School's Graduation Rate (1-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	51.2	56.5	58.3	58.7
Anticipated Year	2008	52.7	58.9	60.2	
of Graduation	2009	48.7	57.2		
	2010	56.2			

This School's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year SPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	51.2	56.5	58.3	58.7
Anticipated	2008	52.7	58.9	60.2	
Year of	2009	48.7	57.2		
Graduation	2010	56.2			
	Aggregated	52.1	57.6	59.3	58.7

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year SPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year SPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year SPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of insufficient student counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the student count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.