Level: Elementary School

District: LONE STAR 101 - 3060 (3 Year***)

School: LONE STAR MIDDLE SCHOOL - 5238

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	·
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		66.7%	(50 out of 75 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ifficient numbers of	students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

below 37%

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Elementary School (3 Year***) Performance Indicators

School: LONE STAR MIDDLE SCHOOL - 5238

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	31	71.0%	47	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	31	64.5%	38	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	31	51.6%	43	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	27	42	34	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	27	65	55	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	27	46	46	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	33	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	33	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	33	
Science	100.0%	N/A	15	15	

oring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Scho				
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
rformance Indi	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficie	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:										
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16								
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.										
Achievemen	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.										
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	• below the 15th percentile of all schools.										
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its media	n student growth percent	ile was:								
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60. Exceeds 4										
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 60 but at or above 45.										
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 45 but at or above 30.										
Academic	• below 30.	• below 30.										
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 70.	at or above 70.										
	below 70 but at or above 55.											
	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1								
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate											
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.										
	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 60 but at or above 45.										
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 45 but at or above 30.										
Academic	• below 30.	below 30.										
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median of	the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 70.											
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
t-Points for eac	th performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.			l earned of th	ne total Fram	ework points eligibl	e.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement				
,,	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%			Pri	ority Improveme				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	-	• below 37%	Turnaroun							
nool plan type a		2000 1100 111000		20.0.0				. In it is a little				
noor plant type a	Plan description											
rformance Plar	·	a Porformanco Plan	A school may not implem	ont a Priority Impro	voment and/or	Furnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total				
provement Pla			five consecutive years be	• •	-		•					
•	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4		•							
ority improven	nent Flan inte school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	I during the sur	ıımer immed	iately following the f	an in which the				

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

				, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>								
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

District: LONE STAR 101 - 3060 (3 Year

School: LONE STAR MIDDLE SCHOOL - 5238

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Meets	83.3%	(20.8 out of 25 points)	
Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)	
		(out of points)	
95% Participation Rate Met			
	83.3%	(62.5 out of 75 points)	
	Meets	Meets 83.3% Meets 83.3% 95% Participation Rate Met	Meets 83.3% (20.8 out of 25 points) Meets 83.3% (41.7 out of 50 points) (out of points) 95% Participation Rate Met

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

below 37%

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

category lower than their points indicate.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School:	LONE STAR	MIDDLE	SCHOOL	- 5238
---------	-----------	--------	--------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	32	84.4%	85	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	32	87.5%	97	
Writing	3	4		Meets	32	75.0%	85	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	32	49	22	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	32	76	52	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	32	58	44	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	35	35	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	35	35	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	35	35	
Science	100.0%	N/A	14	14	

oring Guide	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	Surgel Banaut						Middle Scho	
	ator Scoring Guide	еworк керогт			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
jornance maic	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			Kuting	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Framework Poin	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	or advanced was.			Exceeds	T 4	16		
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th 	h percentile of all schools			Meets	3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement		· ·			Approaching	2	content area)	25	
Acmevement	below the 35th percentile but at or above the 15th below the 15th percentile of all schools.		Does Not Mee	.	content area)				
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth nercentile and its median st	udent arowth nercentil	e mac.	Does Not Mee	ч -			
	• at or above 60.	ven percentile una les mealan se	ducin growin percentil	c was.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	+	(4 for each	50	
Growth	111	lent arowth nercentile and its m	nedian student arowth i	nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	<u>ч</u>	content area)		
G.O.T.	• at or above 70.	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:							
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Exceeds Meets	3		1	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.								
	• below 40.				Approaching Does Not Mee	2 t 1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and it	s student arowth perce	ntile was:	12000110011100	1 -			
	• at or above 60.		o commonio grammi parao		Exceeds	T 4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	†		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	,	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth percenti	ile and its student grow	th percentile was:	•		group in 3 content		
·	• at or above 70.			•	Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	,		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
-Points for each	n performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment	'				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligit	ole.	
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%			,	Performance	
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement	
, , <u>,</u>	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%			• at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improveme	
	• below 37.5%	• below 37%				Turnaround			
ool plan type a		Does Not Meet							
co-pien cype a	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a				.,		Plan for longer than a		

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	<u> </u>						<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.