Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

F	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
F	Performance	at or above 60%
ı	mprovement	at or above 47% - below 60%
F	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
7	Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc		61.9%	(61.9 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: High School (1 Year***) Performance Indicators

School: (CRIPPLE (CREEK-VICTOR	JUNIOR-SENIOR	HIGH SCHOOL - 2024
-----------	-----------	--------------	---------------	--------------------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	65	67.7%	34	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	66	30.3%	43	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	65	35.4%	22	
Science	2	4		Approaching	34	41.2%	31	
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				

							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	58	62	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	60	62	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	58	48	84	No
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

			-/		Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	30	64	29	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	24	65	73	No
Mathematics	6	8	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	32	64	99	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	47	61	99	No
Writing	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	30	45	86	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	32	51	95	No
Total	17	24	70.8%	Meets				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	2	4		Approaching	39	79.5%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	211	3.8%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	33	17.6%	At/above State average
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	71	71	
Mathematics	98.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	72	73	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	71	71	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	36	36	
Colorado ACT	97.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	34	

Scoring Guide Level: High School

Scoring Guide for Perfo	rmance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:		•		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:		•		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	growth percentile was:			15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		•		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
Cut-Points for each per	formance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds				
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets				
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet				

Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
	• at or above 60%	Performance			
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 33%	Turnaround			

School plan type assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.				

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	<u> </u>								<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

District: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR RE-1 - 3010 (1 Year***)

Priority Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Ed	arned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	(9.4 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(16.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	30.0%	(7.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		33.6%	(33.6 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: Middle School

School: CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 2024

(1 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	71	49.3%	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	23.3%	8	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	71	36.6%	16	
Science	2	4		Approaching	40	32.5%	25	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	63	39	32	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	25	82	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	63	34	61	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

Acadomic Growth Cana	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Boints	Datina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps		Points Eligible	% Points		N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growins
Reading	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	28	38	35	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	2	8	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	23	85	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	23	93	No
Writing	2	8	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	36	65	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	19	77	No
Total	6	20	30.0%	Does Not Meet				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	75	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	75	75	
Writing	97.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	75	
Science	97.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	40	41	

	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fr	amework keport						
formance Indica	tor Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring profit	cient or advanced was:						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	50:1			Exceeds	4	16	25
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the				Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the	e 15th percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	and the second the sec	d deal and the constitution		Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student	growth percentile and its median s	tuaent growth percentile	e was:	F	1 4		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	42	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its i	nedian student growth p	ercentile was:	I		content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	the standard constitution of		.19	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequa	ite student growth percentile and l	ts student growth percer	ntile was:	- Francisco	I 4		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	3		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		60	
AI ! -	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	a adaguata student arouth norsen	tile and its student arous	th naraantila was	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	25
Growth Gaps	 If the student subgroup does not meet the median • at or above 70. 	Tudequate student growth percen	ine and its student growt	in percentile was:	Exceeds	4	group in 3 content	25
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40.							
	• below 40.				Approaching Does Not Meet	2		
					Does Not Meet	1		
	performance indicator			n type assignment				
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible of					the total Frai	mework points eligib	
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework					Improvement
_	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvem
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	7 00 0 1											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.