Level: Elementary School

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (3 Year***)

School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1504

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(17.2 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.2%	(13.6 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		68.3%	(68.3 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Level: Elementary School
School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 1504	(2 Voar***)

School:	GOODNIGHT	ELEMENTARY	SCHOOL -	1504
---------	-----------	-------------------	----------	------

(3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	706	77.2%	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	708	79.1%	71	
Writing	3	4		Meets	708	62.6%	65	
Science	2	4		Approaching	243	39.5%	39	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	444	45	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	450	53	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	445	47	36	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	305	37	30	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	260	42	29	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	48	46	52	No
English Language Learners	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	87	37	61	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	308	50	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	263	52	48	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	53	45	64	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	81	43	71	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	306	45	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	259	46	38	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4	'	Does Not Meet	48	39	65	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	164	47	60	No
Total	26	48	54.2%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	748	753	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	753	757	
Writing	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	750	752	
Science	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	258	259	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	nentary Schoo					
coring Guide for P	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report											
Performance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin					
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			1.209								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds	4	16								
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5		Meets	3	(4 for each	25							
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1	Approaching	2	content area)									
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	•			Does Not Meet	1	,						
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr												
	• at or above 60.												
	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 60 but at or above 45.											
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 45 but at or above 30.											
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50					
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its	median student growth	percentile was:	•		content area)						
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4									
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3									
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2									
	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1									
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate												
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4										
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3]								
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60									
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup								
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	•		group in 3 content	25								
	• at or above 70.	• at or above 70.											
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3							
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1							
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment									
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	ol earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	<u>.</u>					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance					
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement					
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme					
	• below 37.5%	Turnaround											
chool plan type as	signments												
	Plan description												
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total					
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		ive consecutive years bet		-		•						
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	·	•		•								
riority improveme	File Flaming School is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan. K	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sun	nmer immed	lately following the f	all ill willcii tile					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

		Reading	-		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (3 Year***)

School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1504

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	(21.9 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	69.4%	(17.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		72.7%	(72.7 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School:	GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL -	1504
---------	-------------------------------	------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	248	87.1%	89	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	247	79.0%	93	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	249	80.3%	91	
Science	3	4		Meets	66	57.6%	68	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	233	55	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	235	43	40	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	234	58	26	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	133	43	17	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	96	52	16	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	26	55	57	No
Mathematics	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	135	42	44	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	98	46	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	42	62	84	No
Writing	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	134	56	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	97	58	25	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	47	57	62	No
Total	25	36	69.4%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	249	252	
Mathematics	98.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	249	253	
Writing	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	250	252	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	66	66	

oring Guide							Level:	Middle Scho			
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						-			
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			· - ·	1 .					
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	_ ·			Meets	3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	h percentile of all schools.		Approaching Does Not Mee	2 t 1	content area)					
	- I	• below the 15th percentile of all schools.									
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentil	e was:	Exceeds	4					
		• at or above 60.									
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its m	edian student growth រុ	percentile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4					
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3							
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	student growth perce	ntile was:							
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4					
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median add	equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:		•	group in 3 content	25			
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment							
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.			
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 59%	ó			Performance			
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above						Pr	iority Improveme			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%							
ool plan type a	ssignments										
	Plan description										
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	hool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	rement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total			
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	Improvement Plan. five	consecutive years befo	ore the District or In	stitute is reaui	red to restru	cture or close the sch	ool. The five			

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

		Reading	-	Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.